```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
2
                    FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
4
                    Plaintiff,
                                      ) No. 05-60008-2-HO
5
                                       ) Monday, May 10, 2010
       v.
6
     PIROUZ SEDAGHATY, et al.,
                                       ) Eugene, Oregon
7
                     Defendants.
8
9
                TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING
10
              BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL R. HOGAN
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
11
12
13
                                -:-
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
                     Deborah Wilhelm, CSR, RPR
23
                          Court Reporter
24
                           P.O. Box 1504
                         Eugene, OR 97440
25
                           (541) 431-4113
```

1		APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL								
2										
3	FOR	THE	PLAINTIFF:	CHRISTOPHER L. CARDANI						
4				United States Attorney's Office 405 E. 8th Avenue, Suite 2400						
5				Eugene, OR 97401 (541) 465-6771						
6				chris.cardani@usdoj.gov						
7				CHARLES F. GORDER, JR. United States Attorney's Office						
8				1000 S.W. Third Avenue, Suite 600 Portland, OR 97204-2902 (503) 727-1021						
9	FOR	THE	DEFENDANT:	STEVEN T. WAX						
10				BERNARD J. CASEY MICHELLE SWEET						
11				Federal Public Defender 101 S.W. Main Street, Suite 1700						
12				Portland, OR 97204 (503) 326-2123						
13				steve_wax@fd.org						
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS									
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	Direct	Cross	ReD	ReX					
3	Evan F. Kohlman	8	36							
4										
5										
6	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	Direct	Cross	ReD	ReX					
7	Walter Patrick Lang	91	128							
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										
·										

(Monday, May 10, 2010; 9:20 a.m.)

PROCEEDINGS

THE CLERK: Now is the time set for the matter of the *United States of America versus Pirouz Sedaghaty*, 05-60008, time set for evidentiary hearing and hearing on pending motions.

THE COURT: Counsel, I'd like to take your experts first on the *Daubert* question. Or I have a couple of friends in Louisiana who say "Daw-bear," but we'll say "Daw-bert," I guess, since we're in Oregon.

On your direct of each other's witness, you may have one hour. Mr. Wax.

MR. WAX: May I introduce to you, Your Honor, the two people who are with me?

THE COURT: Of course.

MR. WAX: This is Bernie Casey, who is retired from Reed Smith in Washington. We met during the Guantanamo litigation. Bernie was managing partner there. They moved to San Francisco to open a branch, and moved to Portland a couple of years ago, and has been volunteering some time to help with this case given Mr. Matasar's inability to be here, and the help that he's provided on the *Daubert* issues, I asked him to come down. And hopefully it's okay with you that he sit at counsel table.

```
1
             THE COURT: Welcome to Eugene.
2
             MR. CASEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Good
    morning. Pleased to be here.
3
             MR. WAX: And to his right is Michelle Sweet,
 4
    whose name you will have seen on some of the pleadings.
5
    She's a research and writing attorney in the office who
6
7
    has provided some assistance on the evidentiary motions.
8
             MS. SWEET: Good morning, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: You are a prolific writer.
9
                                                     Okay.
10
             MR. CARDANI: Good morning, Judge. I'd like to
11
    introduce Mr. Gorder, Ms. Anderson, and Mr. Carroll.
12
             THE COURT: Thank you.
13
             MR. CARDANI: Mr. Kohlmann is here from New
    York. He's one of our experts subject to the "Daw-bear"
14
    motion. We have him here. The defense, I understand,
15
16
    has their expert Mr. Lang. And I don't know how the
17
    court wishes to proceed. But I think those are the two
18
    witnesses.
19
             THE COURT: You have a choice of who goes
20
    first.
21
             MR. WAX: I think the -- Mr. Kohlmann should go
22
    first, Your Honor.
23
             THE COURT: All right. That's fine.
24
             MR. CARDANI: Mr. Gorder will be handling the
25
    proceedings.
```

```
1
             MR. GORDER: We'd be happy to do that, Your
2
    Honor.
             MR. WAX: If we're doing introductions of
3
    everyone, Colonel Patrick Lang is present. And at some
 4
    point I anticipate he will be called as well.
5
             THE COURT: Fine.
 6
7
             MR. WAX: And we would have no objection to
8
    Mr. Kohlmann being here through Colonel Lang's testimony
    if the government doesn't object to Colonel Lang being
9
10
    here through Mr. Kohlmann's testimony.
11
             MR. GORDER: We do not, Your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: Thank you.
13
             MR. GORDER: Your Honor, before I call
    Mr. Kohlmann, I know you've given us guidance of no more
14
    than an hour on direct, but I was just wondering if
15
16
    there was any other guidance that you could give us.
                                                           Wе
17
    have briefed Mr. Kohlmann's qualifications. He's
    qualified, you know, in 15 different federal trials and
18
19
    a number of places around the world. He's here to
20
    testify about his qualifications.
21
             THE COURT: You don't need to do that.
                                                      If you
22
    have a CV you want to submit beyond what I have, you
23
    can, but other than that, no.
24
             MR. GORDER: Your Honor, we do have -- and I
25
    submitted as Government's Exhibit 1 today -- his expert
```

```
1
    report in this case, which was provided to the court
2
    last week, I believe.
 3
             THE COURT: Yes.
             MR. GORDER: And we have submitted his résumé.
 4
    It's attached to the motion -- or our response to the
5
    defendant's evidentiary motion, which is Document 344 in
6
7
    the court record.
             THE COURT: Thank you. I will take the expert
8
    report as part of the direct.
9
10
             MR. GORDER: Thank you. Your Honor, we would
11
    then call Mr. Kohlmann.
12
             THE CLERK: Mr. Kohlmann, please raise your
13
    right hand.
14
             (The witness was sworn.)
15
             THE CLERK: Thank you. If you would please
16
    step forward. Please watch your step. We have some
    wires here.
17
18
             THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
19
             THE CLERK: Your microphones are right along
20
    here. They are built in.
21
             THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. Thank you,
22
    Your Honor.
23
             THE CLERK: Sir, would you please state your
24
    full name, spelling your last name.
2.5
             THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Evan F.
```

```
1
    Kohlmann, K-O-H-L-M-A-N-N.
2
             THE CLERK: Thank you.
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
3
    BY MR. GORDER:
4
            Mr. Kohlmann, could you tell the court what you
5
       0.
    do for a living.
 6
7
             I'm an international terrorism consultant.
       Α.
             MR. GORDER: And, Your Honor, I want to just
8
    briefly run through --
9
10
             THE COURT: You may.
11
             MR. GORDER: -- his qualifications. And
12
    then --
13
             THE COURT: It's your hour.
14
             MR. GORDER: Okay. Thank you.
    BY MR. GORDER:
15
16
            Mr. Kohlmann, could you tell us how you first
       Q.
    got interested in the subject of international
17
18
    terrorism?
19
            When I was an undergraduate student at the
       Α.
20
    Edmund A. Walsh School of Foreign Service at Georgetown
    University in the late 1990s, I began an advanced study
21
22
    of particularly countries in which there were
23
    contemporary conflicts going on in the Muslim world.
24
             One of the countries in particular that I was
    focused in on was Afghanistan, particularly examining
25
```

the Soviet/Afghan war of the 1980s, and the impact that that war had in terms of generating a new kind of transnational movement, a transnational movement that became known as the Arab Afghans.

The Arab Afghans were mujahideen fighters, holy warriors who came to Afghanistan from other countries during the 1980s to fight against the Soviets. However, a core of them stayed behind to continue fighting in Afghanistan, and then expanded to other countries, including Bosnia-Herzegovina, Chechnya, the Philippines, Somalia, and trying to trace the trajectories of these individuals, their histories, their financing, their communications, but, again, the idea of studying transnational conflict and transnational jihadi movements.

- Q. Now, did you earn any awards at Georgetown in this area?
- A. Yes. I was -- first of all, I was given the opportunity to write an undergraduate honors thesis at Georgetown University at the School of Foreign Service in international politics. A select number of students each year are given the opportunity to write a longer thesis paper. I applied to write the thesis. I was granted the opportunity. And my thesis was eventually approved. My thesis was on the subject of the legacy of

the Arab Afghans.

I also graduated with honors in international politics. I graduated magna cum laude. I think that's it.

Q. Now, while you were at Georgetown, did you learn anything about how scholars, academics, researchers conduct appropriate studies?

A. Yes. In order to write my honors thesis, there was a year long process. The first half of the year was just learning the proper research methods for social

was a year long process. The first half of the year w just learning the proper research methods for social science research, for graduate level social science research. The primary focus was in the area of comparative analysis, which is the essential tool of social scientists, which is to take various different sources, to assess their credibility, their authenticity, and then to juxtapose them against each other to try to come up with a common narrative or shared narrative, an accepted narrative, based upon different sources that are deemed to be credible.

Now, a part of that is learning what is acceptable research. So the ideas of primary, secondary, and tertiary sources.

- Q. Can you define that for us.
- A. Sure, of course. A primary source would be if you actually went out and you particularly observed

something happening live, if you speak to someone directly, that's a primary source.

A secondary source would be -- at least in the terms of the research that I conduct -- would be if you obtained a video recording or an audio recording or communiqué, an authenticated communiqué, from a group. It's not as good as being in that place, but in many times when you are dealing with international terrorist organizations or extremist groups, it's not always feasible to get direct primary sources. However, secondary sources, particularly when they are video recordings, audio recordings, authenticated documents can be tremendously useful.

And then there are also tertiary sources.

Generally speaking, I don't rely on tertiary sources,
but I do use them in order to help educate my research.

A tertiary source would be a magazine article, would be
newspaper articles, would be the work of other academics
and scholars. It's not something that I would want to
base my own research off of, but in order to understand
what other people are saying and how other people view
these events and the other kind of research that's being
developed by other scholars, it's important to also
focus on the tertiary, at least for background purposes.

Q. Now, did you win any awards from the Center for

Muslim-Christian Understanding?

2.5

A. Yes. At Georgetown University in the School of Foreign Service, there is no direct minor program or alternative degree program. Instead of getting a secondary degree, what they offer is known as certificates. And there are four programs, or at least when I was there, there were four programs in which you could get a certificate.

One of the programs was a program in Islam and Muslim-Christian understanding, which was run by the Center, the Prince Alwaleed bin Talal -- Alwaleed is A-L-W-A-L-E-E-D, bin, B-I-N, Talal, T-A-L-A-L -- Center for Muslim-Christian Understanding at Georgetown University.

In the Center, I studied under my mentor,

Dr. John Voll, whom I'm still in contact with. I ended

up writing a -- what's known as a capstone thesis in

order to achieve a degree in Islam and Muslim-Christian

understanding. My capstone thesis was on the subject of

religious and political modernization in early twentieth

century Afghanistan.

And, of course, I then achieved a degree, a second degree in Islam and Muslim-Christian understanding.

Q. Now, after you graduated from Georgetown, did

you get any other degrees?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Yes. Subsequent to my degree at Georgetown or my degrees at Georgetown, I achieved a JD or a juris doctorate from the University of Pennsylvania Law School in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
  - Q. Have you practiced law since you graduated?
  - A. No, I have not.
- Q. Okay. Still on the international terrorism arena?
- Yes. The focus of my studies at the University 10 Α. 11 of Pennsylvania was not on corporate law or being a 12 lawyer. The focus was on international security and 13 security law. I also took classes separately in the school -- the graduate school of arts and sciences at 14 15 the University of Pennsylvania in Afghanistan and Islamism, democracy and terrorism. In other words, the 16 focus of my study was national security studies, 17 18 terrorism, and the laws that govern over these areas.
  - Q. Did you begin working at some point for a think tank called The Investigative Project?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. What is that and what did you do for them?
  - A. The Investigative Project is a counterterrorism think tank and watchdog group that was started
    in 1995 by a former CNN journalist. I began working

```
there in 1998 as an intern. I began when I was at Georgetown. I had a tremendous interest obviously in these areas. I wanted to do original research. This think tank gave me that opportunity. By the time I left there, I was a senior analyst or senior investigator. I worked there from approximately 1998 until December of 2003.
```

- Q. And what did you do for The Investigative Project?
- A. As part of my responsibilities at The Investigative Project, I was tasked with discovering, researching, analyzing the communications, financing, recruitment strategies, propaganda, and other aspects of prominent international terrorist organizations.

My primary focus was on al-Qaeda and al-Qaeda-like groups. However, another major focus of mine was actual regional conflicts in which Arab Afghan fighters had been involved, particularly in places like Bosnia-Herzegovina and the Caucasus.

- Q. And when you say the Caucasus, where is that?
- A. Chechnya. For instance, in 19 -- in -- excuse me, in early 2000 as part of my duties at The Investigative Project, I identified a video recording that had been produced by mujahideen in the Caucasus known as Russian Hell in the Year 2000.

```
So I acquired this video recording. I brought it into The Investigative Project. I analyzed it. I broke it down into pieces. I identified the players.

And I provided that information to other people at the think tank, and then that information is then given on to academics, policy makers, and others with an interest or responsibility in this field.
```

- Q. With regard to -- you mentioned primary sources. Have you ever had the opportunity to interview someone that most of us would consider a terrorist?
- A. Yes. I've interviewed several individuals who have been convicted of terrorist offenses in the United Kingdom and the United States. I've interviewed individuals who have been on frontline battlefields, namely in Bosnia-Herzegovina, the Caucasus and elsewhere. I've -- yeah, I mean, I've interviewed a number -- and we continue to do so.

As much as possible I try to conduct these interviews personally. Sometimes we have to do it through intermediaries.

- Q. And when you say "intermediaries," how would that work?
- A. Well, for instance, if we want to interview someone from the Pakistani Taliban, it's exceptionally difficult for me to try to get into Waziristan and meet

```
someone directly from the Pakistani Taliban. But we can get stringers, people that work for us to go there, feed them questions. They can go and ask the questions that we want asked, video record the interview, and bring back the video for us.
```

But as frequently as possible, I try to conduct these interviews personally.

- Q. Do you -- well, what languages do you speak?
- A. I speak English, French, and some broken Arabic.
- 11 Q. So if the person doesn't speak English or 12 French, how do you conduct these interviews?
  - A. Either in broken Arabic or through a translator. I have my -- I have a research assistant who is a Jordanian national -- or, actually, he's about to become a U.S. national, but he's originally from Jordan, and he's a native Arabic speaker. We also employ people in my company who speak -- who are native speakers of Urdu, Pashto, Turkish, and we also have another individual who's a secondary speaker of Russian.
  - Q. Now, where do you do most of your research? Where does most of your research come from?
  - A. We do a combination of materials. A lot of the research that we get we try to get directly from the organizations themselves. In other words, one of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reasons that we began doing this work was we felt that a lot of the empirical research that was being conducted on these organizations was being obtained through non-authentic sources. And we felt that there was a tremendous amount of information that could be obtained directly from the organizations, in other words, directly from terrorist organizations, mujahideen organizations, extremist organizations. The point is is that these folks were putting out a lot of material, video recordings, audio recordings, communiqués, magazines. And this original material offered a tremendous perspective inside these groups. And offered quite a bit of information that maybe these groups didn't realize that they were giving out for free, but which was very educational for those of us outside of the conflict zones, outside of Chechnya, outside of Afghanistan.

This material comes through different ways.

Some of the material we obtained through clearinghouses in Europe and Pakistan and elsewhere. For instance, I just described this video recording Russian Hell in the year 2000 from the Caucasus. I obtained this by contacting a jihadi clearinghouse based in the United Kingdom. I ordered it on CD. And they mailed it literally in the mail to us.

Other cases, I've gone to locations in the UK and I've purchased things directly. Very frequently nowadays, because of the fact of law enforcement crackdown on individuals that are trying to purvey propaganda put out by terrorist organizations, frequently we go to the Internet. The Internet has become the new venue for these groups to release their material.

And what's also interesting is that these groups are also releasing archival material, in other words they're not just releasing material relevant to what's going on today, but they're also releasing material that has to do with conflicts that took place 10, 20 years ago. And this is the first time we're actually seeing this video footage, these audio recordings, these communiqués, these magazines. And they are tremendously helpful, obviously, to educate yourself.

- Q. How do you keep track of all this stuff?
- A. Well, we maintain a database, a formal database, which contains at this point several billion documents. It is organized in a system where everything is organized by region, by group, by year. We have a specific dating format so every file will be saved, saved digitally where immediately by looking at the file

```
name, I can tell you the date it was saved, the source where it was -- where it comes from, the rough content. If it comes from a discussion forum, even the unique thread number assigned to that message. All that material is then organized and is archived.
```

And we have software known as ISYS, I-S-Y-S, and another software package known as dtSearch, along with a Mac Spotlight server, which enable us to do what are known as Boolean searches through this material. A Boolean search would be a LexisNexis style search, "and," "if," "or," "nor." So we can literally run searches through the content of what we have. So if we're looking for a name, a phone number, an address, a bank account number, we can get that level of specificity.

- Q. Now, you might want to spell Boolean for the court reporter.
  - A. Excuse me, Boolean, B-O-O-L-E-A-N.
- Q. Now, what do you generally do with this material that you gather?
- A. We do a number of different things. We -first of all, I work for NBC News. I work as an analyst
  for NBC News. So one of the things we do is we develop
  stories for media. We develop investigative news pieces
  which examine international terrorist organizations,

their recruitment, their financing, their communications.

I also take that material and I produce scholarly papers with it. Currently I'm finishing work on a paper for a journal known as African Security on -- in fact, the title of the paper is the "Sacralization of the Somali Conflict," which is based upon the research and what we've developed. And I'm writing it in conjunction with a graduate -- or actually at this point a professor of Harvard University and another professor from the United Kingdom.

We also take this material and we provide it to government agencies. We work with both the U.S. government, we work with the -- the government of the United Kingdom. We work with Australian Federal Police. The PET, the Danish police intelligence service. We've worked with law enforcement and governments around the world. We also work with the United Nations. We work with governments in the Middle East in order to, number one, help provide the raw data that helps educate people about what these groups are doing.

We also participate in the counterradicalization programs. Recently I was over in Amman,

Jordan, at a conference speaking with representatives

from the Saudi Interior Ministry and the Jordanian royal

```
family about how -- the best strategies for counter-radicalization given what's going on in the world today.
```

- Q. Now, you mentioned publishing a paper.

  Approximately how many papers have you published?
- A. Quite a few. I mean, I try to publish at least one to two scholarly papers per year. And then in addition to that, I also publish various other different articles.

This past February, I published a piece in the West Point Counterterrorism Center Sentinel Journal on the subject of a particular Web site which is being using for recruitment by the Pakistani Taliban and other organizations.

So it's a variety. Sometimes we submit op-eds for major newspapers. But we try to do -- you know, we try to hit different kinds of sources, but there is numerous papers each year, yeah.

- Q. Now, have you written any books on -- you know, on this general subject?
- A. Yes. In 2004, I published my first book, which was Al-Qaida's Jihad in Europe: The Afghan-Bosnian

  Network, which was published by Berg/Oxford Press in the United Kingdom.
  - Q. And could you explain to the court what kind of review or editing process you had to go through to

publish the book.

A. Sure. Oxford as an academic publisher. It publishes mostly university style books. So in order -- first of all, because of the fact that it's a British publisher, so it's subject to British libel law, and it's an academic publisher, I had to go through the formal process of peer review.

Now, the -- that process is not -- was not in my control. In other words, what the publisher did was the publisher identified a group of academics or scholars that it trusted, and it sent them out this -- sent them out my draft blind. In other words, I didn't know who they were. I didn't know what they were reviewing. And they were asked to review my draft. Go through it and assess whether or not it met the credentials for academic scholarship, and whether or not it was something worthy of publication.

Obviously, if my peer reviewers had come back and said that it wasn't worthy of publication, it wouldn't have been published. But in addition to that, because of the fact that the book was published in the United Kingdom and is subject to British libel law, it was also subject to an extremely high standard. In other words, every single fact that I put in the book was rigorously checked, not just by my peer reviewers,

but by a libel attorney hired by my publisher.

- Q. Have you gotten any feedback on the book since it was published?
- A. Yes. I mean it's -- it was -- has been recommended by people such as Richard Clarke, the former White House counterterrorism czar. It's used as a course text in universities such as Harvard, Johns Hopkins, all over the place. Australia, the United Kingdom, all over the place. It's one of the very few books that deals with a particular period of time that the Arab Afghans were engaged. And while that period may not be so interesting for media or for public consumption, in academic circles and in university circles it's very relevant for a lot of the scholars.
- Q. I take it it didn't make the New York Times best-seller list?
- A. Yeah, again, it's not -- it's certainly not a book for public consumption. It's very in-depth. It's very detailed. I mean I have an entire chapter just on the use of charitable organizations to fund conflicts. It's not the kind of thing that most people would find as bedtime reading. But it is something, again, for scholars and for people that are engaged in very detailed research that are looking for facts, it goes into great fact. And eventually it was actually

```
republished by the George Marshall Center in several different languages.
```

- Q. Now, with regard to the -- I guess the scholarly journals that you say you try to publish once or twice a year, what kind of peer review do your articles go through in those instances?
- A. Sure. Well, there is formal and there is informal peer review. I -- anything I publish, anything I write, one of the first things I'll do is submit it to a whole host of different people who I know and I respect and who have in-depth and lengthy knowledge in this. People such as Bruce Riedel, one of the guys that was in charge of the Barack Obama administration review of Afghanistan policy, et cetera, et cetera. I pass them to a large variety of different people. And I try to get feedback initially.

Then after that, when I submit it to the journal, the paper is then reviewed by editors of the journal, and they decide whether or not it fits their standards for publication.

I've never had a piece rejected, so I'm assuming that so far they've been on target.

- Q. Now, did you start a company or a business called globalterrorist.com?
- 25 A. Global Terror Alert, yes. That was the former

name of my business until recently. I've expanded now with other partners to Flashpoint Global Partners.

- Q. And generally what kind of a business has that been?
- A. Global Terror Alert was essentially designed as a clearinghouse for international terrorism. In other words, it was a place for scholars, academics, policy makers, journalists, students to come and actually get an eye into the raw data that underlies the world of terrorism and terrorist organizations.

One of the issues that I had when I was researching my thesis, was it was exceptionally difficult for me to find good sources. It took a lot of digging. And I thought it would be good for others to be able to provide that information out there, so that others when they are pursuing these same lines as I was, they would be able to see kind of the authenticated credible information coming out from these groups, so there would be less confusion about the facts.

Also, obviously, it was, you know, partially a pro bono venture, but, obviously, part of it was for profit. As part of Global Terror Alert, that was the venue through which I did consulting work for the U.S. Justice Department, for the FBI, and for other organizations.

```
Ο.
        Now, you've also done work for an organization
called the 9/11 Finding Answers Foundation?
```

- That's correct, the NEFA Foundation, yes. Α.
- And just generally what is that? Ο.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

12

14

18

21

24

- Sure. NEFA was started after the September 11th terrorist attack in order to help promote nonprofit counterterrorism research. It's -- again, it's a 8 nonprofit organization. The idea behind the group is very similar to what The Investigative Project was 10 about, a nonprofit counterterrorism research, a 11 counterterrorism watchdog. I've worked for them as an investigator and as a contributor since, I think, 2005, 13 I think 2005. And I continue to work for them, again as an investigator, as a contributor.
- 15 Now, you have testified as an expert witness Ο. 16 for the United States in a number of criminal trials; is that correct? 17
  - That's correct, yes. Α.
- 19 And in those cases, have you prepared an expert Q. 20 report, so to speak?
  - Α. In all but I think two of them, yes.
- 22 And you've prepared one in this case; is that Q. 23 right?
  - Α. That's correct, yes.
- 2.5 Q. Could you tell the court what kind of process

you go through in preparing an expert report, including in this case?

A. Well, the first thing I would do is determine what the expert report is supposed to be about. What is the general subject that I've been asked to write about. Then I would go back, number one, and go into the section of my database that deals with material from that particular issue. And I start going through piece by piece attempting to match up material that I recognize or I remember or I recall, that matches up and helps address the issue that I've been asked to write a report about.

Additionally, I then run searches through my database, because I can't possibly remember billions of documents off the top of my head, so I run Boolean searches. So I search for key words. I search for things. And I see whether or not there is anything I don't recall personally, but I did save, and I have copies of in the database, that would be relevant to this.

I also then conduct other searches. Perhaps I haven't saved the correct material. Perhaps I haven't saved the relevant material. Perhaps that material is available on the Internet. Perhaps that material is available via LexisNexis. Perhaps that material is

```
available via the Foreign Broadcast Information Service, which is a U.S. government agency which republishes foreign media in English. Maybe there is a source available in a foreign country.
```

The point is then to try to go out and see whether or not there are any additional sources which can help educate this question which I don't already have in my database.

At that point I take these various different sources, and I conduct, again, comparative analysis. I attempt to determine among these sources which are the most credible, which offer the greatest degree of insight and information to the question I've been asked to write about, and I write a report based on that.

Obviously footnoted with all the sources listed.

And because of the fact that I deal solely in open source information, in other words, information that is not obtained through intelligence agencies, information that is not obtained through, you know, subterfuge, the information I have is open. It's public. I can provide my sources, not just the footnotes, but I can provide copies of my sources.

- Q. And I take it you did that in this case?
- A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Now, without getting into the substance of your

testimony, could you explain to the court what your background is in the conflict in Chechnya that would allow you to provide the jury with some specialized knowledge in that area?

A. The conflict in the Caucasus has been one of the primary focuses of my research since I began this, began this endeavor. When I wrote my honors thesis at Georgetown University in 2001, I did a comparative analysis of four different regions where Arab Afghan fighters had gone to and had participated in battles and what the impact had been.

One of those regions, one of the four regions I chose was Chechnya, was the Caucasus. The reason that I was very interested in this, and the reason why I chose this was because I had developed an incredible amount of information, incredible amount of original sources which helped educate as to what was going in the Caucasus, the hierarchy of the foreign fighters, the identity of the foreign fighters, their communication, their financing.

The critical aspects that everyone wanted answers about, and it happened that this particular conflict we were developing excellent sources with the answers to those questions. In fact, initially my intention had been to follow up my book on Bosnia with a book about Chechnya and about the Arab Afghans in the

```
Caucasus. Unfortunately, not too many publishers are interested in publishing books about Chechnya. But that's the nature of my interest and my expertise.
```

- Q. Now, how about in the subject matter of the role of Islamic charities in financing what some of us would call terrorist activity?
- A. Sure. That has also been a major focus of my studies ever since I began this endeavor. First of all, one of the chapters of my book is exclusively about the role of the Islamic charitable organizations in funding jihad or funding mujahideen groups. It's an entire chapter of my book.

I've also coauthored testimony, Congressional testimony, on the use of charitable -- Islamic charitable organizations in the Arabian Gulf to finance international terrorist organizations. I've written numerous papers about this. I just -- I submitted a paper -- there is a paper that I published in -- with the Danish Institute of International Studies about two years ago which has been cited in the U.S. Treasury Department guidelines for charitable organizations in terms of avoiding terror finance issues.

So I publish fairly regularly on this issue. I conduct original research. At this very moment we're actually engaged in a project which is attempting to

2.5

identify bank account numbers and other financial instruments which are being used at the very moment by terrorist organizations to receive financing to finance operations.

- Q. What about your background in studying particular Web sites used in connection with terrorist activity?
- A. Yeah. Maybe it's no surprise given my age, but one of the areas where I've focused in on quite a bit is the use of Web sites and the Internet to disseminate information by terrorist organizations. In some ways you could say that I have a bit of a niche in this area. And I have conducted investigations of Web sites that have been hosted by terrorist organizations, or been promoting terrorist organizations since 1997.

I've written numerous papers, numerous academic papers about this subject. I have published -- in the last two years, I've published papers on this subject in the Annals of the American Academy of Social and Political Sciences, in the West Point Counterterrorism Center Sentinel Journal, and various other different venues.

I've testified about this area in many, many different courts. And, obviously, it's a major, major focus of what I do.

I attempt to save copies of the Web sites. I attempt to authenticate where the material is coming from. I attempt to meet the people who are running the Web sites. But, yeah, that's -- again, it's -- because of the fact increasingly that terrorist organizations are taking to the Internet as their primary mechanism to disseminate propaganda, in open source information, it's becoming ever increasingly a more important aspect of this.

- Q. Would that include the Web sites Azzam.com and Qoqaz.net?
- A. Yes. Between the years of approximately 1998 and 2002, I visited the Web sites of Azzam Publications and Qoqaz.net on not just a daily basis but actually multiple times a day, particularly during the point in which the conflict in the Caucasus and Chechnya had reached its peak in late 1999 and early 2000.

At that point in time, really one of the best places to get updates on jihad action or what the mujahideen were up to in the Caucasus was from Qoqaz.net and Azzam Publications. They were publishing material almost everyday. It was -- if you were interested in jihad, if you were interested in mujahideen, this is where you went to, period.

Q. When you say "jihad," what do you mean by that?

A. Well, jihad is an Arabic word which means holy struggle. It can be a spiritual struggle. It can mean an internal struggle. However, in the contemporary context because of events that have taken place in the last 10, 15 years, increasingly jihad has become associated with a particular meaning, which is the meaning — the violent meaning. In other words, holy struggle as in violent struggle, physical struggle, not internal struggle.

There are some who still refer to jihad when talking about internal struggle, but it's becoming less and less used because of the fact that it has this immediate connotation when you say it, not just in the West but also in the Muslim world as well.

- Q. Now, have you also done a lot of research in terrorist financing?
- A. Yeah. Again, not just with Islamic charitable organizations but many different mechanisms for terrorist financing; everything from basic money laundering, the use of Hawala networks, the use of Western Union, et cetera, et cetera. But, yeah, I mean, Islamic charitable networks have been a large part of investigating terror financing. But it is part of it, there is other aspects as well.
  - Q. Now, with reference to al-Haramain, have you

done any work studying that organization from Saudi
Arabia?

A. Yes, I have.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Could you just briefly tell us what kind of research you've done in that area.
- A. al-Haramain has been a large focus of my studies of Islamic charitable organizations in the Arabian Peninsula, particularly vis-à-vis al-Haramain's activities in Bosnia-Herzegovina and in the Caucasus.

I have worked directly -- I was -- I was hired to work directly with the office of a high representative in Bosnia-Herzegovina, among other things, to work, in terms of researching, developing information about al-Haramain and associated Islamic charities, their activities in the local regions, reviewing original documents produced by the security service of the army of Bosnia-Herzegovina, the Muslim army of Bosnia-Herzegovina, regarding the activities of al-Haramain, their support of mujahideen, et cetera. And expanding that from Bosnia-Herzegovina to the Caucasus later on. Because once the conflict in the -once the conflict in Bosnia-Herzegovina ended, al-Haramain's activities then briefly moved to Albany and then to the Caucasus. So tracing the patterns of their behavior.

```
1
             But, again, al-Haramain was part of my book.
2
    It's part of my -- the Congressional testimony I
    coauthored. It's a very frequent subject of material
3
    that I've written about. I've written numerous articles
 4
    about al-Haramain, and I've conducted a tremendous
5
 6
    amount of research on al-Haramain's activities on the
7
    ground in Bosnia-Herzegovina.
8
             MR. GORDER: Can I have a moment, Your Honor?
             THE COURT: Yes.
9
             (Discussion held off the record between
10
11
    co-counsel.)
12
             MR. GORDER: Your Honor, as far as
13
    Mr. Kohlmann's qualifications, we're prepared to submit
14
    him as an expert. If you want to hear further about the
    specific testimony that he would offer during the trial,
15
16
    I don't know if you want to rerun the trial, but those
17
    are the areas that he is going to be speaking of.
18
             THE COURT: I don't want to rerun the trial.
19
             MR. GORDER: Very well. Then we would tender
20
    him as an expert.
21
             THE COURT: Thank you. Who is taking the
22
    cross?
23
             MR. WAX: Thank you, Judge. Good morning,
24
    Mr. Kohlmann.
25
            THE WITNESS: Good morning.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Mr. Wax, up to one hour.
2
             MR. WAX: I'll do my best.
 3
             THE COURT: I'll help you.
                        CROSS-EXAMINATION
 4
5
    BY MR. WAX:
             You've been through this drill a number of
6
       Q.
7
    times, have you not?
8
             That's correct, yes.
       Α.
             I would like to start by asking you to tell us
9
       Q.
10
    if you have read the indictment in this case?
11
             If I have read the indictment, it's been a
12
    while. I assume I probably have read it at some point
13
    or another, yeah.
             Do you recall what the charges in this case
14
       Ο.
15
    are?
             I -- you know, frankly, I don't. I don't
16
    generally study the legal documents or I try to avoid
17
    studying legal documents in cases in which I'm involved
18
19
    with because I don't perceive it to be advantageous
20
    towards my testimony.
             Do you have any sense, then, of what the issues
21
       0.
22
    are that the jury is going to be required to consider
23
    under the indictment in this case?
24
       Α.
             I have a basic sense. But, again, I try to
25
    avoid studying those issues because they're not relevant
```

```
1
    to my testimony and I seek to avoid prejudicing my
2
    testimony.
3
             Your judgment is that the issues in this case
       Q.
    are not relevant to your testimony?
 4
             No, I --
5
       Α.
             MR. GORDER: Objection, Your Honor.
 6
7
             THE COURT: Sustained.
8
             THE WITNESS: My response --
             THE COURT: No. That's all right. Ask another
9
10
    question.
11
             THE WITNESS: Excuse me, Your Honor.
    BY MR. WAX:
12
13
       Q.
             What material were you given to review here?
             Well, I was given a substantial amount of
14
    material to review. I was given material -- computer
15
    exhibits that were I understand to have been recovered
16
    off of computers seized from the defendants in this
17
18
    case. I was given documents which were provided by the
19
    government of Russia. I was provided -- I think that's
20
    almost everything.
21
            How many computer exhibits were you provided?
       Q.
22
             Off the top of my head, I'm not sure. I could
23
    give you a list if you like. I have everything saved in
```

Q. Do you have that list with you here today?

24

2.5

my database.

```
Α.
      No, I don't.
```

2

3

4

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Do you recall whether it was the roughly 8 or Q. 10 or so that are referenced in your report or were there more than that?
- I believe that -- those were the ones that I 5 was given. Although there may have been several --6 7 there may have been other computer exhibits that I was given that simply weren't relevant to my expert report 8 in the sense that they were either redundant or they 9 10 didn't have any direct bearing on what I was writing about. But, again, I -- if you would like, I'm sure I 11 12 could provide you with copies of everything that was 13 provided to me.
  - Do you recall how many pages of material from the Russian FSB you were provided?
  - Α. Not very many. I think approximately five or six pages.
    - Were you provided a copy of Colonel Lang's Ο. report on the FSB?
- Α. I was provided a copy of a report by Colonel Lang but I have not read it yet. But I don't know if it 22 was a report on the FSB or not. It was a report by 23 Colonel Lang.
- 24 Q. With respect to your qualifications, I want to 25 ask you just a few questions. You said, if I understood

```
you correctly, that in law school your focus was on security law and international security.
```

- A. Roughly, yes, exactly. Terrorism, international security, national security law.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall having offered such testimony in a prior proceeding and having the attorney go through with you your actual law school transcript?
- A. Yeah, I believe I did so in the *Muntasser* case up in Boston.
- Q. And do you recall as you sit here today either from that examination or from your recollection of your law school degree precisely what courses you took in law school?
- A. You mean every single last one? No, I'm sorry, I don't recall. It's been -- I graduated from law school about six years ago. But I can tell you some of the titles of the classes I took. Diplomacy in terrorism, Afghanistan and Islamism. There are several others, but I can't remember the course titles.
- Q. Let me see if this refreshes your recollection.

  In your first year in law school do you recall taking
  the standard first year course of civil procedure,
  contracts, torts, property, and legal writing?
  - A. Yes.

Q. And in the second semester, constitutional law,

```
criminal law, legal writing, American legal history, and
1
2
    administrative law?
            Correct, yes.
 3
       Α.
            Are there any other courses that you took
 4
       0.
    during your first year in law school?
5
             No. The first year is fairly standard, I
 6
7
    believe.
             In your second year, do you recall having taken
8
       Q.
    professional responsibility, evidence for trial lawyers,
9
10
    federal income tax, constitutional criminal procedure,
    terrorism and democracy in the first semester?
11
12
       Α.
            That's correct, yes.
13
       Q.
             So that's one course so far related to the
14
    subject matter?
15
            Constitutional criminal law had direct bearing
    on terrorism law.
16
17
       Ο.
            Was it not the standard constitutional criminal
    law course taught at Penn?
18
19
             I don't know what the standard
       Α.
20
    constitutional -- each constitutional criminal law
21
    course is different depending on the professor that's
22
    teaching it. There is modification -- I mean, it's
23
    not -- I don't think there's a standard course.
24
             I certainly know there were other people who
```

took that course that didn't go through the exact same

```
1
    text that I did. But I don't -- again, there was --
2
    when I say I took classes on national security on
    international terrorism and security, I'm also referring
3
    to elements of criminal law. To understand about
 4
    international terrorism, you have to understand the
5
 6
    basis for constitutional criminal law and basic criminal
7
    law.
             Sir, I've taught constitutional criminal law
8
       Q.
    many times. Mr. Gorder teaches a course in national
9
    security law. I'm aware of his curriculum and mine.
10
    Let us focus on the course you took in constitutional
11
12
    criminal law. Did you deal with the traditional Fourth,
13
    Fifth and Sixth Amendment issues of search and seizure,
    confessions, right to counsel, and things of that
14
15
    nature?
             Yeah.
16
       Α.
17
       Ο.
             It did not have any particular focus on
18
    national security matters as a major component of the
19
    course?
20
       Α.
             I'd have to review my course notes. Again,
21
    it's been about five years since I graduated from law
22
    school.
```

Q. Second semester, do you recall taking

and the holocaust, and topics in defamation?

copyright, corporations, evidence for trial lawyers, law

23

24

```
A. Yes.
```

2

3

4

8

9

10

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Anything else that you took in the second year of law school that I haven't mentioned?
  - A. No, that's my complete transcript.
- Q. And then in the third year, do you recall taking a course in death penalty and habeas corpus, trial advocacy?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. International human rights, an advanced criminal law course, and a cyber crime seminar?
- 11 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. And then the last semester, a course in
  Afghanistan and Islamism, free speech, trial advocacy,
  First Amendment, and an independent study course?
- 15 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. And, again, in the third year, as with the first two, I have read accurately all the courses that you took?
- 19 A. Oh, yes.
  - Q. Okay. Now, with respect to your undergraduate degree, I thought I heard you say that you received a second degree from Georgetown?
  - A. Georgetown doesn't -- you can't get a minor degree or a secondary degree within the School of Foreign Service. The option that's given is you can get

```
a certificate. And the certificate program is what they describe as the equivalent of a minor or a dual degree. It's not exactly -- it doesn't exist anywhere outside of Georgetown essentially. But I actually have a second degree. I was given a second degree from that program.

Q. Have you not said repeatedly in the past that
```

- you received a certificate rather than a degree?

  A. It's a certificate program, but it's a degree.

  You are misunderstanding what I was saying. What I was
- 10 saying is that it's a certificate program but they gave
- 11 me a degree. It's a degree.

- Q. How many courses did you take in Islam and
  Muslim-Christian understanding, sir?
- A. In order to achieve the degree, you have to
  take two years of course work. So the first set of
  course work is an intensive year in Islamic history. So
  it's a full year-long course starting from start to
  finish on Islamic history.
  - Q. How many credit hours, sir, in that year?
  - A. I don't know. That's credit hours, I have no idea. But, again, let me just finish. The second year is then the first part of the year I took course work in Islamic modernism under Dr. John Voll. And then the second part of the year was writing my capstone thesis, with Dr. John Voll.

```
Q. Did you take any other courses during the second year that were not part of the Islam and Muslim-Christian understanding curriculum?
```

- A. I am sorry, I don't understand your question.

  You mean did I take any other courses that were outside
  of CMCU?
- Q. You were an undergrad at Georgetown, correct?
- A. That's correct, yes.
  - Q. Four-year program, correct?
- 10 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. And you graduated with a BA, a BS? What did
  you get?
- A. Again, it's Georgetown, so it's a BSFS. It's a bachelor of science in foreign service.
- Q. All right. And you -- Georgetown has a number of undergraduate colleges?
- 17 A. That's correct, yes.
  - Q. And you were in which undergraduate college?
- A. I was in the Edmund A. Walsh School of Foreign
  Service. Excuse me, I'm sorry. The Edmund A. Walsh
- 21 School of Foreign Service.
- Q. Does Georgetown have another undergraduate school that relates more specifically to the Middle
- 24 East?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

25 A. No. There are -- within the School of Foreign

```
Service, there is the Center for Contemporary Arab
1
2
    Studies, and there is the Center for Muslim-Christian
    Understanding. But those are both within the School of
3
    Foreign Service. I mean, I -- I -- in order to achieve
 4
    my degree at BSFS, I took lots of classes at CCS, in the
5
    Center for Contemporary Arab Studies. In fact, I worked
6
7
    as an undergraduate research assistant for Dr. Mamoun
    Fandy, F-A-N-D-Y, who was a professor at CCS.
8
             All right. Now, Mr. Kohlmann, when you started
9
       Q.
10
    your interest in terrorism matters, you were an
11
    undergraduate?
12
       Α.
             That's correct, yes.
13
       Q.
             You were born in what year, sir?
             I was born in 1978.
14
       Α.
15
             You started Georgetown what year?
       Q.
16
       Α.
             In 1997.
17
       Q.
             Okay. Did you work between high school and
18
    college?
19
             Yes, but not in a field substantively related
       Α.
20
    to this, no. I mean, I graduated from high school in
    1997, I immediately went straight to college.
21
22
            Okay. So you did not take a year off, travel
23
    the world, or do work in some other location?
24
       Α.
             No, no, no. I went straight to college.
25
       Q.
             And did you go straight through Georgetown in
```

```
four years?
```

2

22

23

24

- That's correct, I did. Α.
- All right. You began working as an intern, you 3 Q. said, in The Investigative Project? 4
- 5 That's correct, yes. Α.
- Q. You did that on a volunteer or a paid basis? 6
- 7 No, no, a paid basis. Α.
- You then graduated from Georgetown, which is 8 Q. located in Washington, D.C.? 9
- 10 Α. That's correct.
- 11 And went to law school at Penn located in Q. 12 Philadelphia?
- 13 Α. That's correct, yes.
- Did you continue to work for The Investigative 14 Ο. Project while at Penn? 15
- 16 Α. Yes. What I did was that I managed to schedule my classes so that they took place on Monday, Tuesday, 17 Wednesday, and then on Wednesday afternoon, I would go 18 19 down to D.C., and I would spend the next three or 20 four days down in D.C. So I would divide half my week 21 being in Philadelphia and half my week be in D.C.
  - Now, I was curious when I was reading your Q. testimony from one of the other cases to see that you said in an affidavit that was filed in 2004 that you had spent the previous seven years engaged in research, and

Ι

```
1
    also giving frequent briefings. Did I read that
2
    testimony correctly?
             Briefings to who?
3
       Α.
             Well, what the testimony -- transcript -- or,
 4
       Q.
    excuse me, the affidavit described academic, law
5
    enforcement, intelligence agencies, Department of
6
7
    Justice --
             That's correct.
8
       Α.
             -- FBI, the National Security Council --
9
       Q.
10
       Α.
             That's correct, yes.
11
             -- that is part of the Department of Homeland
       Q.
12
    Security?
13
       Α.
             Yeah, that's correct.
             So in 2004, when you signed this affidavit and
14
       Ο.
    described seven years of frequent briefings, you were
15
    25, 26 years old?
16
17
       Α.
             That's correct, yep.
             And you had not at any point been out of an
18
       0.
19
    academic setting full-time in the work force?
20
       Α.
             Well, that's a matter of debate. I was working
21
    part-time at The Investigative Project, but it was
22
    closer to full-time.
23
           Can you tell me, please, when the first
24
    briefing that you gave to the FBI was?
```

A. I could not tell you off the top of my head.

```
1
    have no idea.
2
             Can you tell us the subject matter?
       Q.
             I have absolutely no idea, I'm sorry.
 3
       Α.
             Are you -- but is it -- did you then and are
 4
       Q.
    you telling us today that when you were an undergraduate
5
    at Georgetown you were actually in a position in which
 6
7
    you were giving briefings to the Federal Bureau of
    Investigation?
8
             Yeah. In January of 2000 when I was a
9
       Α.
10
    sophomore or junior -- I was a junior at Georgetown
    University, I actually went to the White House, and I
11
12
    gave a briefing directly to White House counterterrorism
13
    czar Richard Clarke, and not on one occasion, but on
    three different occasions.
14
15
             And what was the subject matter?
       0.
16
             On terror finance, on recruitment, on
       Α.
17
    communications networks, and other aspects of al-Qaeda.
18
             How long did the first briefing take?
       Q.
19
             I don't know. Probably about four hours.
       Α.
20
             Four hours you alone with --
       Q.
21
             No, no, it was me and a team of people, but I
       Α.
22
    was --
23
       Q.
             I see.
24
             -- I was one of two people leading the
25
    briefing.
```

```
Q. Who were the other people who were present?
```

- A. Steve Emerson, the director of The

  Investigative Project; Rita Katz, who was one of the

  other people who was leading the brief -- or was the

  other person who was leading the briefing alongside of

  me; and another lady who I would prefer not to name her,

  Your Honor, just because she currently works for the New

  York Police Department Intelligence Unit.
- THE COURT: You don't need to.
- 10 BY MR. WAX:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

22

- Q. So is it more accurate to say then, sir, that while you were a student, that you attended briefings that were set up by The Investigative Project leaders?
  - A. No, no, that would not be accurate.
  - Q. Did you personally set up this briefing with the White House?
- A. One of the ones -- not the first one, but the subsequent ones myself and Rita Katz, who were the ones who were leading the briefing, were the ones who contacted Mr. Clarke and his assistants and set up the subsequent briefings.
  - Q. And Ms. Katz is how old?
- 23 A. I don't know her age.
  - Q. Is she substantially older than you are?
- 25 A. I would say so, yes.

```
Q. Are you telling us that neither she nor Mr. Emerson was taking the lead on setting up these briefings?
```

A. Initially. But afterwards, once I got to know these individuals, it was pretty much me running the briefings.

As far as the FBI, which is what you asked about initially, almost all the briefings that I conducted with the FBI, it was me running the briefing. The reason being is that I was the one doing the substantive research. So when someone from -- in a government agency or an academic or others were coming in looking for information, I was the one they wanted to speak to because I was the one who had developed the research, myself and Rita and one or two other people. But when I say I was directing briefings, I don't mean I was attending briefings, I mean I was giving the briefing.

- Q. How much are you getting paid for your testimony in this case?
- A. In this case I'm getting paid at a rate of \$300 per hour.
  - Q. Roughly how much money have you earned from the United States government as a consultant in the last six years, let's say since you graduated from law school?

```
1 A. I have absolutely no idea.
```

- Q. Are we talking tens of thousands, hundreds of thousands? Give us a ballpark, please.
  - A. In the last six years?
- 5 Q. Yes, sir.
- 6 A. From all government agencies?
- 7 Q. Yes, sir.
- 8 A. Just the U.S. government?
- 9 Q. Yes, sir.
- 10 A. Probably between 1 and \$200,000. Actually --
- 11 | maybe a little bit more. Over six years, I don't really
- 12 know. I don't check my tax returns like that. But it
- would be in the probably hundreds of thousands of
- 14 dollars.

- 15 Q. Hundreds of thousands?
- 16 A. Over six years, yeah.
- 17 Q. All right. Now, you mentioned that you are
- 18 working for the NEFA Foundation?
- 19 A. Yeah, I work as a contributor on behalf of
- 20 NEFA, that's correct.
- 21 Q. When did you start doing work for NEFA?
- 22 A. I began doing work for NEFA in 2005.
- 23 Q. Were you ever engaged on any full-time or
- 24 relatively full-time basis with them?
- 25 A. I work as a contributor. I mean, I run my own

```
consulting business, and I consult for clients, and they
1
2
    were one of the clients that I was consulting with.
                                                            So
    I serve as a contributor, as an investigator, but I
3
    don't -- I work full-time for myself.
 4
5
             How much time did you spend doing work for NEFA
       Q.
    in 2005?
 6
7
             In 2005?
       Α.
             Yes, sir.
8
       Q.
             On a weekly basis? Maybe -- I don't know.
9
       Α.
10
    Maybe -- maybe five hours a week, ten hours a week.
11
       Q.
              2006?
12
       Α.
              Probably about ten hours a week.
              2007?
13
       Q.
              Probably about 15 hours a week.
14
       Α.
15
              2008?
       Q.
16
       Α.
              Probably about 20 hours a week.
              2009?
17
       Ο.
18
             Fifteen.
       Α.
             And 2010, this year?
19
       Q.
20
       Α.
              Ten.
21
       Q.
              Ten hours a week. And what is the origin of
22
    NEFA?
           Do you know who set it up?
23
              Well, it was -- I mean, I didn't found the
       Α.
24
    organization. I don't know who the contributors are.
25
    know that the organization was created among other ways
```

```
1
    by a legal judgment in which there was a legal judgment
2
    in which money was given to the organization as part of
    a penalty, but other than that, no.
3
             Did the Motley Rice law firm have anything to
 4
       Q.
    do with the establishment of NEFA?
5
             As far as I know, nothing.
 6
       Α.
7
             Do you know who David Draper is?
       Q.
8
             Yes, I do, yes.
       Α.
             What do you know about him and his relationship
9
       Q.
10
    to NEFA?
11
       A.
             He is the director of strategic operations of
12
    NEFA.
13
       Q.
             What, if any, involvement does NEFA have with
    the 9/11 lawsuits that have been pending now for quite
14
15
    some time?
16
       Α.
             Zero, none.
17
             What, if any, contribution does NEFA make to
       Q.
    the 9/11 legal team?
18
19
             Zero, none.
       Α.
20
             Is there any consulting relationship between
       Q.
    NEFA and the 9/11 team?
21
22
             No, there is no contact whatsoever. There is
23
    no contact whatsoever between NEFA and as far as I know
```

any attorneys involved in any legislation with regards

to victims of terrorism.

24

```
1
       Ο.
             I want to shift gears a little bit now,
2
    Mr. Kohlmann, and ask you a question or two about your
    book. You mentioned several times, if I heard you
3
    correctly, that you have an entire chapter in the book
 4
    devoted to the funding of terrorism. Did I hear that
5
 6
    correctly?
7
             Islamic charitable organizations, that's
       Α.
8
    correct, yes.
             And in your book, is there any reference to
9
       Q.
10
    al-Haramain?
11
       Α.
             I believe there is, yes.
12
       Q.
             Are you sure, sir?
13
       Α.
             I'm pretty positive about that, but I'd have to
    take a look at my book to be sure.
14
15
             How many footnotes do you have in the book?
       Ο.
16
       Α.
             A couple hundred.
17
       Q.
             Eight-hundred some odd?
18
             A couple hundred. It's a lot. It -- you
       Α.
19
    should know that the -- yeah, I mean, it's a couple
20
    hundred.
21
             Do you have a copy of the book with you?
       Q.
22
       Α.
             No.
23
             Would you be surprised if the count is more
24
    than just a couple hundred?
2.5
             It could be a thousand. I have no idea.
       Α.
```

```
1
    Again, it's -- it was an academic book. It's a
2
    scholarly book. Almost every single sentence has a
    footnote attached to it. The book itself is about 2,
3
    300 pages long. Given that length, I would say -- to
 4
    say it's a thousand footnotes, I wouldn't be surprised,
5
    sure.
 6
7
             And your recollection is that you do reference
       Q.
    al-Haramain in it? Are you sure of that?
8
             I'm pretty sure, but I'd have to double-check
9
       Α.
10
    to be 100 percent sure. It was in my initial draft.
11
    There's a possibility it may have gotten cut out at some
12
    point because of the fact that it wasn't a focus of my
13
    book, but I'm -- I know it was in my initial draft. And
14
    I am pretty sure it was in the final copy that was
    published.
15
16
       Q.
            But in any event, it was not the focus of the
    book?
17
18
             The focus of the book was on the conflict in
       Α.
19
    Bosnia-Herzegovina and foreign fighters in the conflict
20
    in Bosnia-Herzegovina.
21
       Q.
             And the funding of fighters?
             Well, let me finish. There is various
22
23
    different aspects of that which I studied. I studied
24
    the history. I studied their communications, their
25
    leadership, their financing. So that's why I had one
```

```
chapter about the financing issue. I had one chapter about their activities post-conflict. It's -- again, each chapter deals with a different aspect of what was going on there.
```

Q. We'll go back and check the book, sir, and introduce it as an exhibit if we think it's appropriate.

In terms of what you described as the types of sources that you look at, I did understand you correctly to say that you speak broken Arabic.

A. Yeah. In order to study Islam, you have to, first of all, memorize quite a great deal of Arabic vocabulary because of the fact that the religion is predicated and came from the Arabian Peninsula. Many of the essential terms are Arabic words.

In addition to that because of the fact that I listen and watch to -- a great deal of material that comes from the Middle East that is often in Arabic, and because I sit next to someone who is a native Arabic speaker, you end up picking up Arabic after a while.

- Q. But it's broken? You don't hold yourself out as being fluent in Arabic?
- A. No, not in the least bit. My understanding of Arabic is based on oral. It's not based on written. I can read characters and stuff, but it's mostly based on oral.

```
Q. All right. When you are looking at Web sites, for example, that are written in Arabic, you need someone to translate that for you?
```

A. Well, it depends what you mean. I engage in what's known as information triage. In other words, my basic knowledge of Arabic is enough for me to be able to look at a document and identify whether or not that document is relevant to the work I'm doing.

If I determine that it's relevant and potentially has information that is relevant and useful to what I'm doing, I then take that document, I hand it off to my research assistant, who takes it and translates it. I wouldn't rely on my own knowledge of Arabic, because, frankly, it would -- when it comes to these materials, using anyone who's not a native Arabic speaker is a waste of time. You need --

- Q. Excuse me. The research assistant works full-time?
- 19 A. Yeah, full-time.

- Q. The research assistant is a bilingual person?
- A. He's a native Jordanian, yeah.
- Q. The research assistant has been with -- this one has been with you for how long?
- 24 A. Last two years.
- Q. Prior to that, did you have someone else who

was sitting beside you reading the Arabic as needed?

- A. Either sitting beside me or someone that was in the same city as I was in that I could call and have come to meet me.
  - Q. You've described, sir, if I heard correctly, that there are a number of different types of research that one can do in terms of sources; primary, secondary, tertiary?
    - A. That's correct, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

- Q. And in terms of primary sources, did I understand you correctly to say that that would mean going out and conducting interviews yourself?
- 13 A. Yeah, for instance, or directly observing an event.
  - Q. Can you just answer yes or no, sir.
- A. But it's not just that. It's also directly observing an event.
- Q. You have, if I understand correctly, conducted some primary research; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. You have interviewed, you said, a number of people who have been convicted of terrorism offenses in this country?
- A. In this country, the United Kingdom, and I've also interviewed people who have not been convicted of

```
offenses but have been on the front lines.
1
2
             How many people have you spoken with in this
3
    country who have been convicted of terrorism offenses?
             At least two. But there have been several
       Α.
 4
    others, that I -- there is two that I can think of off
5
6
    the top of my head, but there is a list of people who I
7
    have spoken with who have end -- I mean, well,
    actually -- well, at least two I can think of off the
8
    top of my head.
9
10
             How many people have you spoken with who have
11
    been convicted of terrorism offenses in the United
12
    Kingdom?
13
       Α.
             Two.
             Two more?
14
       Q.
15
             Two more.
       Α.
             So we have a total of four?
16
       Q.
17
       Α.
             That's correct, yes.
18
             In addition, you have spoken with a number of
       Q.
19
    other people you describe as people who have been
20
    involved in one way or another in terrorist acts?
21
       Α.
             That's correct, yes.
22
             Roughly, how many?
       Q.
23
             Well, terrorist acts is -- I wouldn't describe
       Α.
```

it as that. What I would say is that these are

individuals who have had direct knowledge and direct

24

```
1
    role in either a mujahideen organization, a jihadi
2
    movement, or something that is financing them.
             How many, sir?
 3
       Q.
             How many? Maybe two dozen.
 4
       Α.
             All right. Now, with respect to the indictment
 5
       Q.
    in this case and the issues that the jury will be
 6
7
    considering, I'd like to ask you some very specific
8
    questions.
             Have you personally spoken with any of the
9
10
    principal leaders of the al-Haramain organization as it
    existed in the year 1999, 2000, or 2001?
11
12
       Α.
             No.
13
       Q.
             Have you had the opportunity at any time to
    speak with Ageel Al-Ageel?
14
15
             No, I have not.
       Α.
             Mansour al-Kadi?
16
       Q.
17
       Α.
             No, I have not.
18
             Soliman al-But'he?
       Q.
19
             No, I have not.
       Α.
20
       Q.
             And no other people you have identified through
21
    your research as principals of al-Haramain?
22
       Α.
              That's correct.
23
              Have you had the opportunity to speak with any
    of the principals in the Saudi Joint Relief Committee
24
25
    about its existence, functioning, et cetera?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Α. The Saudi High Committee for Relief, yes.
- Well, we have documents that describe it as the Q. Saudi Joint Relief Committee. Are you referring to something you believe is a different entity?
- The SJRC began as the Saudi High Committee for Relief in Bosnia. I have directly spoken with one of the principals involved with the SHR in Bosnia-Herzegovina.
- All right. My question is not about the SHR in Q. Bosnia-Herzegovina, and the mid or late 1990s. question is about the Saudi Joint Relief Committee that was dealing with the Russian government and Chechnya.
- 13 Α. There is only one. It operates in different conflict zones. I understand what you are saying.
  - I appreciate that. My question is: Have you Ο. spoken with any of the principals who were involved with the Saudi Joint Relief Committee and the Russian and Chechnyan situation?
  - You mean as per that conflict? Α.
  - Q. No. Thank you, sir. Have you spoken with any of the members of the Saudi royal family about their involvement with the Saudi Joint Relief Committee?
- 23 Α. No, they haven't volunteered that information 24 to me.
  - Q. Have you made an effort to speak with them?

- Α. Yes, but they won't speak with me.
- Have you spoken with any members of the Saudi Q. government about the formation of the Saudi Joint Relief Committee and the relationship between the Saudi Joint Relief Committee and the al-Haramain organization in the years 1999 through 2001?
- Α. No, I have not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- With respect to issues involving the financing Q. of terror or of mujahideen, let me ask you a few questions. I noticed that in 2004, you spoke with FBI Agent Carroll and he prepared a report about that conversation. Do you recall that?
- 13 Α. I recall speaking with -- I recall speaking 14 with him, but I don't think I saw the report about our 15 conversation.
  - Do you recall that you provided him at that Q. time a report that you had prepared that had some discussion in it about al-Haramain and the bank accounts that al-Haramain had?
  - Α. Yes. I believe what I did was I provided him a copy of a memorandum that I had written while I was working at The Investigative Project.
- 23 All right. And that would have had your Global Terror Alert logo on it?
- 2.5 I don't recall. Α.

- Q. You don't recall at this point?
- A. I don't remember.

- Q. All right. Do you recall that at that time you were able to go onto an al-Haramain Web site and identify roughly 13 bank accounts related to al-Haramain?
  - A. That's correct, yes.
    - Q. And you gave that information to him?
    - A. Among others, yeah, that's correct.
- Q. Now, in terms of attempting to determine what happens with particular money, do I assume correctly from the fact that you were looking at bank accounts that you would consider them to be an interesting or important source of information?
  - A. They are interesting. I mean, there is a limited amount I can do with bank accounts because I don't have the ability to issue subpoenas. But when it comes to tracking terror finance, one of the most difficult things is finding bank account numbers. So when I do find bank account numbers, that's one thing I -- you know, I save. There is a limited amount I can do with it but I do pass it on to others.
  - Q. Would it be your expectation that the government with the powers that are available to it might have made efforts to or should have made efforts

```
1
    to at least look at those bank account records?
2
             MR. GORDER: Your Honor, objection as to
    relevance. I'm not sure what this has to do with his
3
    qualifications.
 4
             MR. WAX: Primary, secondary, tertiary sources.
 5
             THE COURT: You said would it have made sense
 6
7
    for the government to do this, I think that's probably
    not too relevant to me.
8
    BY MR. WAX:
9
10
       Ο.
             Did you make an effort to obtain any records
    from the bank accounts that you had identified sometime
11
12
    prior to October of 2004 when you provided this
13
    information to Agent Carroll?
           I have no way of doing that. I have no way of
14
    getting at bank account information. That's private
15
    information that's only available via subpoena or to the
16
17
    governments or to the people who are the owners of the
18
    bank accounts. I could not get access to that
19
    information.
20
       Q. I have read in some of your prior testimony
21
    that you have -- you used the phrase gone undercover at
22
    some point. Did I read that correctly?
23
             That is correct, yeah.
24
            And in terms of going undercover, did you make
       Q.
25
    any effort to go undercover with respect to the
```

```
1
    al-Haramain organization?
2
             That's not what I meant by undercover.
                                                      That's
    much different. When I was talking about doing
3
    undercover work, that was going in terms of extremist
 4
    organizations and meeting people and meeting their
5
    leaders. You can't go undercover to get bank account
 6
7
    information without engaging in illicit activities,
8
    which I don't engage in.
       Q. Did you make any effort to go undercover in the
9
10
    sense that you have just described it, is my question,
    with respect to al-Haramain?
11
12
             Oh, I'm sorry, I thought you meant as per the
       Α.
13
    bank accounts. You mean to go undercover in
    al-Haramain? No, I did not do that.
14
            Okay. Did you -- I read in one of the
15
       Ο.
16
    transcripts that you at some point sent an e-mail to
17
    some fellow, I'm not going to pronounce his name
    properly, Irhaby?
18
19
             Irhaby 007.
       Α.
20
       Q.
             Irhaby and that will be I-R-H-A --
             B-Y 007.
21
       Α.
22
             B-Y. Thank you. And you just put out an
       Q.
23
    e-mail and said, hey, Irhaby, I'm here. I'm Evan
24
    Kohlmann. Let's have a chat.
```

A. It's not quite that simple. This is an

```
individual who knew who I was. And he had put out a video recording of me on the Internet. As a result, I wrote an e-mail back to him. It was more me replying to him saying, obviously you know who I am and obviously I know who you are, so why don't -- rather than, you know, ducking around this, why don't you just talk directly to me.
```

- Q. Did you make any such effort to communicate via e-mail with any member of the al-Haramain organization?
- A. Yeah. I believe we tried sending e-mails to al-Haramain at various different times. We didn't get a response back.
- Q. Do you recall specifically when you did that?
- A. No. It would have been on probably more than one occasion, but I can't recall. I know that typically speaking what we do is we try -- whenever we get e-mail addresses or phone numbers, we attempt to contact them and see what kind of information we can get.
- Sometimes it's lucrative, sometimes it's not.

  We contacted the head of BIF in Saudi Arabia,

  Benevolence International Foundation. And he responded.

  But with regards to al-Haramain, I don't believe we got a response.
- Q. Do you recall specifically what e-mail address you used to attempt to communicate with al-Haramain?

```
A. I have no idea. This would -- I should clarify. This would have been between eight and ten years ago, so I -- I know that we engaged in this kind of activity, but I could not give you specific dates or addresses or whatnot. I just know that if we had gotten back a response, I would probably remember more, but I know we didn't get back a response.
```

- Q. All right. With respect to some of the e-mails that the government showed you, whatever relatively small number it was, do you recall the Web sites or the persons from whom those e-mails appeared to have been sent?
- A. I believe PSQ.org, or I'm sorry, I don't
  remember. It's -- there was one like -- there was a
  couple, I believe, with al-Haramain addresses and there
  was one with a P. Off the top of my head, I couldn't
  give you someone's e-mail address.
  - Q. Do you recall if there was anything from a person named a Abdul Qaadir?
  - A. Yes, excuse me, yes, there was.
- Q. Did you make any effort to contact Abdul
  Qaadir?
- A. No, I did not.
  - Q. Have you then not spoken with Abdul Qaadir?
- A. No, I have not.

```
0.
       In many --
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

18

19

20

21

- I should add I did run a search on his e-mail Α. address. And I attempted to locate other messages that he might have posted on the Internet, but I didn't contact him directly.
  - Q. Okay.
- I should also add that when I'm engaged in a Α. case, a criminal case, I don't engage in that kind of activity. I don't try to send subterfuge e-mails to people or subterfuge phone calls because that would be interfering with a criminal investigation.
- 12 Q. Nor do you just send out an e-mail as you did 13 with Irhaby, hi, I'm Evan Kohlmann, I'd like to chat?
  - Well, that's --Α.
- My question is -- let me rephrase the question. 15 Ο. 16 In this case, you did not do that with respect to Abdul Oaadir? 17
  - No, I did not. Α.
- Okay. Now, I notice in terms of, you know, Q. comparison of the work that you did in this case as contrasted with some of the others, Bosnian case about 22 which you testified, if I understood correctly, you've 23 actually spent time in Bosnia?
  - Α. That's correct, yes.
- 25 Q. And you have told us that you had the

```
opportunity to speak with a number of people firsthand who were involved in some of the other cases in which you've testified?
```

- A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. All right. Now, in terms of the second types of sources that you described, you mentioned audios and videos. Have you had the opportunity to be given access to any classified information in this case?
  - A. Not that I'm aware of. I --
  - Q. Do you have a security clearance?
- A. No, I don't.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 12 Q. Have you ever held a security clearance?
- 13 A. No, I have not.
- Q. So to your knowledge, the government has not provided you any material obtained in a manner that it would be classified?
  - A. Not unless there has been some kind of special dispensation given, but, no, that's -- I deal only in open source information. I deal only in open source research. If I'm writing an expert report, I'll take documents from the government to help educate me in terms of what they might be developing separately if it matches with my open source research, but I don't deal with classified sources.
    - Q. Have you had the opportunity to hear any tape

```
1
    recorded conversations involving my client, Mr. Seda?
2
       Α.
             No.
3
             Have you had the opportunity to hear any tape
       Q.
    recorded conversations involving Soliman al-But'he?
 4
5
       Α.
             No.
             Ageel Al-Ageel?
 6
       Q.
7
       Α.
             Nope.
             Mansour al-Kadi?
8
       Q.
9
       Α.
             Nope.
10
       Q.
             Nobody?
11
             Again, I don't have a security clearance and I
       Α.
12
    wouldn't want to get into reviewing classified documents
13
    without a security clearance.
             All right. And you have not had the
14
       Ο.
    opportunity to hear any such material from any source?
15
    You didn't find anything, for example, on one of the Web
16
    sites that are -- that you referred to in your direct
17
18
    examination -- clearinghouses? You didn't come across
19
    tape recorded conversations of any of those individuals
20
    in any source such as that?
21
       Α.
             Classified recorded conversations, no.
22
                 Unclassified, or classified or
       Q.
```

- unclassified on a clearinghouse Web site put out by --
  - A. Recorded conversations between whom?

24

Q. Mr. Sedaghaty, Mr. al-But'he, Aqeel Al-Aqeel,

```
1
    Mansour al-Kadi --
2
       Α.
             No.
             -- or any of the other principals of
3
       Q.
    al-Haramain?
 4
             No. I've reviewed documents that have their
 5
    name on business cards, letters, and whatnot, but I
 6
7
    haven't reviewed audio recordings, no.
8
             Have you seen any video recordings of any of
       Q.
    those four individuals I just mentioned in communication
9
    with each other?
10
11
             No, no, no.
       Α.
12
             Have you had the opportunity to see or hear any
       Q.
13
    video or audio recording involving Mr. Sedaghaty,
    Mr. Aqeel, Mr. Al-But'he, discussing the -- a donation
14
    by an Egyptian man named El-Fiki?
15
16
       Α.
             No, I have not.
17
       Q.
             All right. In terms of al-Haramain, in
18
    referring to the report that you provided to Agent
19
    Carroll back in 2004, please help me be sure I
20
    understood correctly what you had said. al-Haramain, if
    I understand correctly, you described back then as only
21
22
    a quasi private entity?
23
             Quasi private, yes, because of the fact that
24
    with Islamic charitable organizations in the Arabian
```

Peninsula, particularly in Saudi Arabia, very frequently

```
1
    there are links back to one element or another within
2
    the government. In other words, whether we're talking
    about al-Haramain or the Muslim World League, or Jamiat
3
    Ahya al-Turath -- J-A-M-I-A-T, A-H-Y-A, A-L, dash,
 4
    T-U-R-A-T-H -- these organizations very frequently have
5
    links back to the governments, whether it's the Kuwaiti
 6
7
    government, the Saudi government, the government of the
    UAE.
8
9
             Let me keep the focus, please, on al-Haramain.
       Q.
10
    If I understood correctly, you described it as being
    very closely controlled and supervised by members of the
11
12
    Saudi government?
13
       Α.
            Certain members of the Saudi royal family,
14
    that's right.
15
             Yes. And the members of the Saudi royal family
       Ο.
16
    would be people who would often be part of the Saudi
17
    government itself?
18
             That's why I described it as quasi private.
       Α.
19
             Okay. So I did understand that correctly?
       Q.
20
       Α.
             That's correct, yes.
             You described, if I understood correctly, a
21
       Q.
22
    connection between Mr. Ageel Al-Ageel and a foundation
23
    on which one of the Saudi princes, Abdul-Aziz Fahd bin
24
    Abdul Aziz, if I understood correctly, was also a board
25
    member?
```

2.5

```
A. I believe so, but you are going to have to give me the exact reference.
```

- Q. This was in your report that you provided to Agent Carroll.
- A. Okay. But you have to read me the exact reference. I'm not sure what you are referring to.
- Q. I'll get back to that. Were you provided any information by the government regarding humanitarian activities in which Mr. Sedaghaty was involved here in the United States?
- A. What kind of humanitarian -- I don't -- I mean,

  I was provided with information about his activities

  with al-Haramain, to the degree of the exhibits that

  I've been provided, but I don't --
  - Q. Were you provided any documentation of his volunteer work in Ashland, Oregon?
- MR. GORDER: Your Honor, I'm going to object.

  I think we're, again, getting beyond his qualifications.
- 19 THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
  - MR. WAX: Your Honor, if I understand Daubert correctly, part of the court's function here is not only to assess the qualifications but also assess the relevance of the proposed testimony, and the fit that that proposed testimony has to the specific issues in this case. And I believe that this line of inquiry goes

```
1
    to those aspects of Daubert.
2
             THE COURT: I disagree.
             MR. WAX: Thank you.
 3
 4
    BY MR. WAX:
             In looking through the report that you prepared
5
       Ο.
    in this case, I saw many references in your footnotes to
 6
7
    material that came off of a number of Web sites, an
8
    al-Haramain Web site, a Qoqaz Web site, a Kavkaz
    Institute Web site, et cetera.
9
10
       Α.
             That's correct, yes.
11
             Okay. And do I understand that it's your view
       Q.
12
    that many of these Web sites put out by organizations of
13
    that nature include a fair amount of propaganda?
14
       Α.
             That's correct, yes.
             And do you agree that propaganda would often
15
       Ο.
16
    include a fair amount of exaggeration?
17
       Α.
             It may. It can. It depends on -- it really
18
    depends on the given case. It depends on who's saying
19
    it and in what context they're saying it. It's not --
20
    propaganda is not universal. It depends on the
21
    organization, the intent of the organization. It's a
22
    specific inquiry.
23
             Sure. But as a general proposition in terms of
    your view of the -- you said, I think, it is now
24
```

billions of documents that you have on your database --

2.5

A. Sure.

- Q. -- is it not your observation that many do include exaggerations in the propaganda that is put out by the organizations?
- A. That's the purpose of doing the comparative analysis is trying to cut out the puffery and try to identify the underlying facts that are presented in these -- what can be ascertained or what can be counted on as reliable.
- Q. The question, sir, is: Do you agree that there is a fair amount of propaganda that includes exaggeration in some of the material that you find on the Web sites? Not what you do with it, but you agree that it's there?
  - A. I could repeat my previous answer which is that it depends on a given case. There is a small -- a degree of puffery in propaganda but it depends on a given case. You have to point me to a given example, and I could tell you.

I mean, when it comes to a recording from Osama bin Laden, frankly, there's a lot of puffery in that.

When it comes to something that's put out by a charitable organization, it's not so much puffery. It depends who you're talking -- if it's a political leader versus a charitable leader versus something, it depends

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

20

21

24

25

czarist empire?

That's correct, yes.

Α.

```
on what their perspective is, what their purpose in
    releasing this -- the recording or the document is, who
    they are. It's contingent upon so many different
    factors, you can't possibly generalize.
             Let me switch to another aspect of this case if
       Ο.
            If I have understood correctly the positions
    that you have expressed in the past, the al-Haramain
    charity operated in roughly how many countries?
8
             Many. Something like 70 different countries,
       Α.
    many.
             And it dealt with some -- in some years, in the
       Ο.
    late '90s, early 2000s, 50, 60, $70 million a year?
13
       Α.
             That sounds about right, yeah.
             And do I also understand correctly that it was
       0.
    your understanding that al-Haramain was engaged in a
16
    significant amount of what one might call legitimate
    charitable activity?
17
18
             My understanding is that at least half of their
       Α.
19
    activities were probably legitimate.
       Q.
             Thank you. Now, switching focus to one other
    subject, I want to be sure I understand this. The study
22
    that you have done of the Chechen/Russian wars took you
23
    back actually to the 1800s and the expansion of the
```

```
Q. And the struggles in the Islamic Republics that became the southern tier of the czar's land?
```

- A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Through the Soviet era and the struggles between the Soviet government and the populations in -- same region, the region that includes Chechnya?
  - A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

21

22

23

24

2.5

- Q. And then into the 1990s, after the fall of the Soviet Union, and the Russian Federation's desire to maintain control in some of those republics, while some of the Islamic Republics became independent countries, some, such as Chechnya, did not?
- A. Well, actually, Chechnya did become independently briefly.
  - Q. Very brief time. And Russia was very unhappy?
    - A. She was very unhappy, yes.
- Q. Okay. And if I understand correctly, your

  perception of what Russia was doing in Chechnya included

  some pretty brutal and God awful actions against both

  military and civilian populations?
  - A. Yeah. I mean, there is -- the way that I would describe it is that there is a lot of brutality that goes around the Caucasus, but the Russians have certainly played a role in that, yes.
    - Q. In one of the earlier cases in which you

2.5

```
testified, I think you went around the horn with the lawyer about the total number of refugees who were created in Chechnya. And there was a discussion of a State Department report. Several hundred thousand refugees, at the least, you would agree with me?
```

- A. Yeah, Russians, Muslims. I mean, the capital of Chechnya, Grozny, was leveled to the ground. So anyone who lived there, regardless of where they were from or who they were, had to leave.
- Q. Okay. And the brutalities and atrocities were perpetrated, among others, by the Russians?
- A. They were perpetrated all around, but I think the answer is that the Russians had the greatest degree of military force, so frequently the worst brutality was associated with them.
  - Q. All right. In terms of what was happening in Chechnya in the mid and late 1990s and on into 2000, if I understand you correctly, the Russians were actually obstructing efforts that people were making to provide humanitarian aid to some of the -- the population in Chechnya?
- A. They were obstructing certain efforts to provide humanitarian aid, yes. Not all, but certain efforts, yes.
  - Q. Correct. And there were some, you know,

```
humanitarian organizations that were attempting to do some business in Chechnya. And as you are indicating, the Russians accepted some and not others?
```

- A. What happened wasn't -- in the beginning I think the Russians viewed most of this as benevolent or at least as harmless. But by 1999, the Russians had decided that some of the activities that these organizations were engaged in went beyond just providing food and medicine, in fact, that they were providing money to combatants. And at that point, a number of organizations began to be scrutinized by the Russian government. Several of them were prevented from getting involved in the conflict, or else they were -- they became adversaries of the Russian government.
- Q. And you are aware that in late 1999 in an effort to address some of those concerns, the Russian Federation and the government of Saudi Arabia actually entered an agreement?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Signed an agreement, and through that agreement, among other things, the Saudi Joint Relief Committee was authorized to operate in Chechnya and to provide aid?
  - A. I believe so, that's correct, yeah.
- 25 O. Now, I want to -- should have done this at the

```
beginning -- to ask you a definitional question. You
described yourself, if I heard you at the outset, as an
international terrorism consultant.

A. That's correct.
```

- Q. I'd like to talk to you for a minute, please, about the definition of that term.
  - A. Sure.

- Q. Let me ask you this way, if I can: Would you please define the word "terrorism" as you are using it in that phrase?
- A. Well, I think it's more of a title than anything else. If you want to know exactly the area that I study, I study transnational jihadi movements.

Now, terrorism can be -- can take many different forms, but in this case I'm referring to non-state actors who are engaging in violence, either directed against civilians, directed against noncombatants, or directed against, you know, even soldiers who are not in an active combat zone, or individuals who are financing that activity, individuals who are participating in logistical support for that activity. In other words, it refers to, generally speaking, organizations that have either been named as the SGDTs, specially designated global terrorists; as foreign terrorist organizations, FTOs, under the U.S.

```
State Department definition; or else have been involved with individual -- or who are directly partnered with individuals who are SGDTs or FTOs.
```

- Q. All right. So let's go back, if we can please, just to Chechnya.
  - A. Sure.

- Q. From the perspective of the Chechen people in the years 1994, 1995, they were engaged in a war of liberation, resisting Russian domination?
- A. I wouldn't want to speak on behalf of the Chechen people, but I think it's fair to say that there was a war of liberation or a war of independence that took place. That was initially led in 1991 by Dzhokhar Dudayev -- D-Z-O-K-H-A-R, D-U-D-A-Y-E-V -- who began a nationalist movement to try to create an independent state. Yeah.
  - Q. If I may try to focus the discussion here.

    The -- we had a war in Chechnya between those Chechens
    who wanted freedom and the Russians who didn't want it?
  - A. There's not one war that took place in the Caucasus. There was multiple wars that took place between the years of 1991 and today. There is --
  - Q. I appreciate that. I'm sorry. I should have restated the introduction to the question, '94, '95.
  - A. In 1994 and in 1995, the conflict was mostly at

```
1
    that point a nationalist struggle.
2
             Okay. Now --
       Q.
             It began shifting in 1995.
3
       Α.
             Okay. I'm not asking you that question.
 4
       Q.
                                                        Let's
    just stay with me, please. If I understood you
5
    correctly, you would distinguish between a war of
 6
7
    liberation, or perhaps, from the Russian perspective, a
    secessionist or civil war movement, from the acts of
8
    terror that are committed against, I think you said,
9
10
    civilian populations or non -- soldiers who are not in
11
    combat?
12
       Α.
             Well, I studied both. I studied --
13
       Q.
             I'm not asking you what you studied. I'm just
    asking in terms of the definition. Do you distinguish
14
    between an act of terror committed by a person against a
15
16
    civilian -- start there -- and a person fighting for the
17
    liberation of his country against what he perceives to
18
    be an oppressive neighbor? Do you make that
    distinction?
19
20
       Α.
             These are all subjected to individual
21
    definitions. But the latter group, I would generally
22
    refer to as insurgents; whereas the former group, I
23
    would generally refer to as extremists or terrorists.
```

However, it's so factually -- you can't just say someone

killing civilians, is that the terrorist; someone that's

24

```
not -- doesn't fit that definition, is not. It's
1
2
    factually dependent.
             It's not factually dependent on whether or not
 3
    you're Chechen or not. It's just -- it's dependent upon
4
    the conditions that are involved.
5
            All right. Let me ask it this way, if I can:
6
7
    During the American Revolution the French king supported
    the colonies in their effort to break away from England.
8
             MR. GORDER: Your Honor, I think we're getting
9
10
    a little far afield.
11
             MR. WAX: Well, I'm having a hard time getting
12
    an opinion --
13
             THE COURT: You have four minutes. You can use
14
    it the way you'd like.
15
             MR. WAX: Your Honor, I am going to urge you
16
    quite strenuously to let me have more than four minutes.
    I mean, this is --
17
18
             THE COURT: Thank you. You're asking questions
19
    about the French in the American Revolution. I know
20
    something about that, but I don't see that as being
21
    helpful.
22
             MR. WAX: Well, I'm not getting a straight
23
    answer to what I thought was a pretty simple question.
             THE COURT: Well, you have the court's limits.
24
25
    BY MR. WAX:
```

2.5

```
Q. Mr. Kohlmann, if I understood correctly from the report that you -- or the information you provided to the FBI in 2004, you stated that the al-Haramain Ashland -- excuse me -- the al-Haramain English language Web site was run by or out of Ashland, Oregon.
```

- A. Yes. I believe that was determined through looking up Internet domain records.
- Q. Do you have specific information that you can provide us about that? Which domain records did you look up? What were the IP addresses? And what did you find?
- A. I'd have to look back in my records to give you a specific answer, but I believe what the answer is is that I read -- and again --
- Q. Do you recall today -- I'm not asking you what you believe. Do you recall today what information you looked at?
- A. Not definitively. I would have to review back and look in my notes. Again, I don't want to waste time, but I believe the answer is I ran a WHOIS search on the domain name alharamain.org. And I returned back with the address here in Ashland.

I also believe that the Ashland address was actually listed on the Web site at a certain location.

Q. But you don't recall that specifically?

```
1
      Α.
             You are asking me a very detailed factual
2
   answer.
3
             Yes, I am.
      Q.
             I'd have to go back and look at my notes.
4
      Α.
5
             Did you speak with Mr. Sedaghaty and ask him
      Q.
6
   that question?
7
             No, I did not.
      Α.
             Mr. al-But'he?
8
      Q.
```

- 10 Q. Anybody else?
- 11 A. Nope.

Α.

9

19

- Q. Did you have access to the computers that the government seized from the al-Haramain building in

  Ashland and have the opportunity to see whether they contained any software or other information suggesting that they were used for that purpose?
- A. No. In this particular case, I wasn't hired to do a forensic analysis of any hard drives.
  - Q. The answer then is no?

No, I did not.

- A. Again, I wasn't hired to do a forensic analysis.
- 22 Q. Is the answer no?
- A. I wasn't hired to do a forensic analysis. The answer is no.
- Q. Thank you. In the report that you prepared in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Are

```
this case, if I understood correctly, you stated that
you learned that certain people in certain charities
were skimming funds that were intended for refugee type
relief and using it for other purposes?
   Α.
         That's correct, yes.
         Can you please tell me what information you can
provide, with specifics, about any such activity with
respect to al-Haramain?
        Yeah. I have records -- original records from
  Α.
the army -- the Muslim army of Bosnia-Herzegovina, the
security service.
   Q.
         With respect to al-Haramain and Chechnya, sir,
I'm not asking about Bosnia, I'm sorry if I wasn't clear
on the question. What specific information, if any, do
you have regarding the skimming of funds from
al-Haramain in Chechnya?
   Α.
         Are you including the documents that I was
provided in this case by the government or no?
         And by that you would be referring to the FSB
   Q.
documents?
   Α.
         I believe so, yes, that would be one, yes.
         All right. Other than that?
   Q.
         I'd have to look back, but I mean there has
   Α.
```

been a significant amount of documentation of this.

you talking about primary, secondary, or tertiary

sources?

- Q. Start with primary.
- A. Primary, I don't think so. But secondary and tertiary, I believe that there are sources, but I could -- I could get them for you, but I can't recount them off the top of my head.
- Q. You told us previously that you have had no audio or video communications with anyone related to al-Haramain and Chechnya. So would that not eliminate secondary sources on this question?
- A. Well, secondary -- you're talking about things with al-Haramain, but I could have also gotten video recordings which show a camp in the Caucasus, which I know to be the camp of Ibn ul-Khattab. And I happen to know that that is being funded by al-Haramain.
- Q. Tell me, please, the source of the information which causes you to say you know it is funded by al-Haramain. What is the source of your information?
- A. I'd have to get the exact footnote, but I believe it's a sworn document in federal court. I believe it's the Northern District of Illinois. I'd have to double-check on that. It's -- what it is --
  - Q. Which case are you referring to?
- A. I believe it's the Enaam Arnaout case.
- Q. And what do you recall about this alleged sworn

1 document? 2 I'd have to check back and see. I'd have to check back. I mean, you're asking me about very, very 3 specific exhibits that I was not prepared to answer 4 questions here. If you want me to dig this stuff up, 5 I'd be happy to do it. 6 7 MR. WAX: May I have a moment, please, Your Honor? 8 THE COURT: 9 Yes. (Discussion held off the record between 10 11 co-counsel.) MR. WAX: Your Honor, the other areas that I 12 13 believe that I should be permitted to go into are the ones that relate to what we understand to be the other 14 aspects of the court's role as a gatekeeper at a Daubert 15 16 hearing. 17 We believe that Mr. Kohlmann's report is replete with exaggerations, irrelevancies, and highly 18 19 prejudicial information that should not have any 20 presence in this courtroom in front of the jury. 21 And what I believe that I should be entitled to 22 do is to go through the report with him on a paragraph-

do is to go through the report with him on a paragraphby-paragraph basis and to explore the extent to which the material that's contained in this report, which we take to be what the government is intending to elicit

23

24

```
from him in front of the jury, is just completely
1
2
    irrelevant to the issues in this case. That's where I
    am at in terms of the questioning and what -- kind of
3
    what I request permission to pursue.
 4
             THE COURT: Thank you. I gave you the limits.
 5
6
    I've allowed you to go beyond them. You used some of
7
    the time for material that, frankly, has really almost
    nothing to do with what this is about. And so those
8
    were my limits. And I'm going to stick with them.
9
10
    We're in recess.
             (Recess: 11:05 until 11:16 a.m.)
11
12
             THE COURT: Go ahead and be seated, please.
13
             Yeah, in light of the last argument, I do want
14
    to remind counsel that, of course, the expert report is
    not coming into evidence. And there are some matters in
15
    there that won't be allowed. There is some that will.
16
    But that's true of the material I've seen on Colonel
17
18
    Lang. But this is about qualifications, methodology,
19
    whether someone has the right background and that sort
20
    of thing.
21
             All right. Do you want to call your witness,
22
    Mr. Wax.
23
             MR. WAX: Yes, Your Honor. Colonel Patrick
24
    Lang.
25
             THE COURT: Thank you.
```

```
1
             MR. WAX: And, Judge, we have a copy of his
2
    résumé that's been revised, I'd hand that up.
3
             THE COURT:
                         Thank you. Counsel, with regard to
    schedule, I'm taking our midday break at 11:30, and it
4
    will go until a few minutes after 1:00, so it will be a
5
    nice, generous break today, more than you can count on
 6
7
    with me. Go ahead. Raise your right hand, please.
8
             (The witness was sworn.)
9
             THE CLERK: If you would please take the
10
    witness stand. Please watch, we have some cords strung
11
    out here.
12
             MR. WAX: Your Honor, before the testimony with
13
    Colonel Lang begins, I do anticipate that it will
    involve reference to classified material. And in
14
    discussing with him his ability to discuss his
15
16
    background and qualifications, he expresses some concern
17
    that some of what he will be describing to the court,
18
    you know, may involve some classified matters that would
19
    require us to have the courtroom appropriately cleared.
20
             THE COURT: All right. Please stay away from
21
    that for the next ten minutes. And then during the
22
    break -- we have to do some things, like bring another
23
    disc down for the court reporter and so on. We can take
24
    the other after our midday break.
25
             MR. WAX:
                       Thank you.
```

```
1
             THE WITNESS: Good morning, Your Honor.
2
             THE CLERK: Colonel, your microphones are
    located here. There's water for you. So if you could
3
    keep your papers away from the microphone.
 4
             If I could have you please state your full name
 5
    for the record, spelling your last name for the court
6
7
    reporter.
8
             THE WITNESS: Walter Patrick Lang, Jr.
    last name is spelled L-A-N-G.
9
10
             THE CLERK: Thank you.
11
             THE COURT: As far as I'm concerned, you don't
12
    need to go into the CV. You can, if you'd like, of
13
    course, but it's just a time matter.
             MR. WAX: I appreciate that, Your Honor. We
14
    will attempt to bring out those aspects that we think
15
16
    are most important.
17
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
18
    BY MR. WAX:
19
             Colonel Lang, could you tell the court, please,
       Q.
20
    very briefly about your educational experience.
21
             Well, I graduated from the Virginia Military
       Α.
22
    Institute in 1962, and the University -- with a BA
23
    degree -- and from the University of Utah with an MA in
    Middle East studies in 1976. And I am a graduate of the
24
```

Armed Forces Staff College, the Army Command and General

Staff College, and the U.S. Army War College.

- Q. Now, Colonel Lang, would you tell the court please when you first entered the service of the United States military?
- A. On the 10th of June 1962, I was commissioned in the regular Army as an infantry officer.
- Q. And did you at some point relatively early on in your career start dealing with matters related to intelligence?
- A. Yes. After a few years in the infantry, I went into the Special Forces, the Green Berets. And then after a year or two, took command of an operational detachment. I was brought into the staff intelligence work of the 8th Special Forces Group, and I did that for the rest of my career until 1988 when I retired from the Army, except for three years that I taught Arabic as the professor of Arabic at West Point.
  - Q. Now, would you please tell the court a little bit about some of your early experiences in the 1960s and '70s in Vietnam, to the extent that you can here in this setting, involving intelligence matters.
  - A. I -- in 1968 and '69, I commanded a clandestine operations detachment in 3 Corps, that's north of the Saigon border. And I cannot discuss that in great detail in an unclassified setting. And then I had

several other tours of duty in which I was a clandestine operations officer in various parts of the world. Often conducted operations against the KGB, the GRU, against foreign guerrilla movements of various of kinds, in conjunction with the CIA.

I have two awards from the CIA given for recruitments of Warsaw Pact personnel. And after I retired from the Army, I continued in intelligence work as a member of the Defense Intelligence Senior Executive Service.

- Q. Let me focus for the next few moments on some more details about your educational background. You mentioned getting a master's degree at -- in Utah. Was that while you were an active member of the Armed Services?
- A. Yes. I had completed the Arabic language school at Monterey, California, with the Defense Language Institute, and got a perfect score on the achievement test. So they decided they were going to send me next to graduate school in Middle East studies. And I was supposed to have gone to the American University in Beirut, but a civil war broke out. And so they diverted me to Utah where I took a degree which concentrated on modern Arab literature, on the social anthropology in the Middle East, and the history of the

```
politics of the Middle East. And I had a grade point average at the end of 4.0 of 4.
```

- Q. And you've indicated that that master's program took place after completion of the Army's or the Armed Services Arabic Language School in Monterey, California?
- A. That's correct. I forgot to say that I was also admitted to the International Honor Society of Phi Kappa Phi at Utah.
- Q. All right. So you were back then in the 1970s and are you still today fully fluent in Arabic?
- A. Yes, I am, in fact. After this I -- in addition to teaching Arabic at the college level, I, in fact, worked for many years in the Middle East. And in -- sometimes in situations in which there were no English speakers really. So I've had a great deal of practice.
  - Q. All right. Now, in addition to your study of Arabic as a language, you are indicating that your education in this master's program included study of politics, anthropology, social anthropology. If you could expand on that a little bit, please, sir.
  - A. Well, I've always had the conviction in the intelligence business that the knowledge of mankind and the doings of mankind is more significant than the rigors of political science as a discipline. So I

```
1
    tended to concentrate on anthropology as a record of the
2
    behavior of human groups, especially in the Middle
    Eastern context, and the history of politics in the
3
    Middle East as the actual record of what occurred
 4
    probably as a result of those intermixtures.
5
             I also did quite a lot of developmental
 6
    economics focused on the Middle East at Utah.
7
             Now, in 1976, did you find yourself at West
8
       Q.
    Point?
9
10
       Α.
             I did. I was -- I unexpectedly received a
11
    phone call one day that told me that I was summoned to
12
    go up and create program in the Arabic language and
13
    Middle East studies at the Military Academy at West
    Point.
14
15
             So you were the founder and creator of a
       Ο.
16
    program which is still ongoing today?
17
       Α.
             Yes, that's right. I created the program
    curriculum and taught the courses for the first three
18
19
    years until I departed for another assignment. While I
20
    was there, I was a member of the faculty senate,
    elected. And I was twice selected as the best classroom
21
22
    instructor of the year at the Academy.
23
             Now, when you left West Point, what was your
```

next assignment or posting?

24

2.5

A. Well, I had this interesting Hobson's choice

```
there. They asked me to stay on permanently, and I couldn't imagine anything I wanted to do less, and so I decided to accept the Army's offer to become the Defense and Army attaché of the Republic of Yemen. That was then North Yemen. Since then the two countries, north and south, have combined. I did that for two-and-a-half years.
```

- Q. And when you were the attaché at that posting, did you live in Yemen?
- 10 A. Oh, yes, I lived in San'a, the capital of Yemen 11 with my wife.
  - Q. Can you explain for the court, please, in general terms, what your responsibilities as the attaché were?
  - A. Well, I worked for both the ambassador, and, therefore, was a kind of pseudo State Department employee, and I also worked for the director of the Defense Intelligence Agency as his representative. I was a consular of embassy in the State Department sense for military affairs. And at the same time, I was collecting all over the country on an overt basis information about the Soviet and Chinese military missions in the country, which were very large. I did spend a lot of time with the Soviets because of that. And there was a large civil war going on in the

```
southeast part of the country against the National Democratic Front, which was also backed by the Soviets, so I spent a lot of time on the Soviet dealings there and talking to prisoners taken by the Yemeni Army who had been trained by the Soviets, things like that.
```

- Q. In that capacity were you called upon to analyze data, exercise judgment, and make recommendations to people in the embassy, in the State Department, in the Defense Department or elsewhere?
- A. Well, yes, throughout the U.S. government. I was the military representative in the country for all things that had to do with intelligence, so I had to judge what the extent was of the Soviet and Chinese programs, how effective they were, how the guerrilla war was going, advise the ambassador as to how much military assistance he ought to recommend the United States provide, to compete with the Soviets, for example. And I had to travel all the time back to Washington to talk to the State Department and the Treasury and National Security Council about these issues, yes.
- Q. Let's see if in the next minute or two we can define a couple of terms.
- A. Yes.

Q. You used the phrase -- I think it was the phrase Defense Intelligence Agency. Can you tell the

```
court, please, just what that is and how it fits into the U.S. intelligence community.
```

A. Well, there are several major elements of the U.S. intelligence community, separate agencies that belong to different departments of government.

The CIA, of course, is a standalone agency which essentially serves the presidency, at that time we're talking about. The State Department has the Bureau of Intelligence and Research. The Defense Department has the Defense Intelligence Agency. And each of the services have activities, as do some other parts. And these things act as a committee of the whole, to a large extent, in coordinating what it is the positions are that they take with regard to the -- what the U.S. government accepts as truth.

They collect information for that purpose.

They can analyze it by comparative analysis, as I heard described earlier. And then produce documents which become the truth of the United States government.

Q. Now, in terms of the intelligence work that you have done and that is done elsewhere in the United States government, there are, if I understand correctly, several different types of intelligence with names such as HUMINT and SIGINT. Can you explain please what some of those different categories are.

```
1
             These can really be described as disciplines in
       Α.
2
    the collection world, which actually is divided into
    collection, analysis, dissemination. And these are
3
    different kinds of collections.
 4
             SIGINT is the collection of enemy or adversary
 5
    signals, both the verbal or of a nonverbal nature.
6
7
             HUMINT is the use of humans in order to collect
    information, either openly or by use of secret methods.
8
             IMINT is the use of photography or other kinds
9
10
    of imaging from overhead.
11
             And MASINT is a little obscure even to me after
12
    I've worked with it for many years.
             MR. WAX: Okay.
13
14
             THE COURT: This is a good time. When you have
    your lunch, say to yourself "I'm going to speak a tiny
15
    bit slower."
16
17
             (Lunch recess: 11:30 a.m. until 1:12 p.m.)
             THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Wax.
18
19
             MR. WAX: Thank you, Judge.
20
    BY MR. WAX:
21
             So, Colonel Lang, let us move forward with your
       0.
22
    experience. After your time in Yemen, did you continue
23
    with any other type of studies at the War College?
24
       Α.
            Well, before that, I went back to the states,
2.5
    and for 8 or 10 months I was the person in charge of all
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
the attaché stations in the Middle East in the Defense
Intelligence Agency's headquarters in Washington.
other words, I ran these places for administration and
operations from Washington.
```

- And what does that job entail in terms of Ο. reviewing material, and analyzing it, making judgments, giving directives to people in the field?
- 8 Well, in addition to the logistical and Α. administrative matters, personnel, things like that, I 9 10 had to look at what they were producing in terms of reporting, and then go around to the other parts of the 11 12 government that were interested in this, the State, CIA, 13 and Treasury, places like that, and see if it was 14 satisfactory, confer with them to get a kind of peer rating for the products of our attaché offices in the 15 16 Middle East, and then retask them to have them do what 17 it is that they were supposed to be doing.
  - So you would gather information, make judgments 0. about what was best, worst, mediocre?
    - Α. Yes, judge it basically.
    - And then would you discuss your analysis with Q. other people who were doing work similar to yours within the intelligence community?
  - Α. Well, first I would ask the analyst, the people who do comparative analysis, and within the DIA, that's

```
the Defense Intelligence Agency, and then I would ask
the same questions out at all the other agencies to see
whether there was a sufficiency in what was being
reported, whether they thought it was any good, you
know. And then retask people to get the -- to adjust
the product in the collection activity if necessary.
```

- Q. And retasking in English as opposed to a military phrase would mean what, sir? What do you mean by "retasking"?
- A. Well, I'd send messages to the field and tell them to stop doing this, start doing that, that kind of thing.
- Q. Were you in the mid 1990s at some point in a position in Saudi Arabia itself?
  - A. Yes. After I finished doing this for 10 months or so, I went back to the Middle East to Saudi Arabia to be the Defense and Army attaché, the job I had in Yemen only on a bigger scale because this was a bigger stage, bigger office, bigger embassy, bigger interests for the United States. And I was there for another two-and-a-half years.

And while I was there, I was promoted to colonel. And I performed the same kind of duties there, and with regard to collecting information, advising the ambassador, conferring with all the other foreign

return to the United States.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
attachés in the Saudi capital so that we could sort of
support each other in the collection of information,
judge what was to be done.
        And then I was -- then I was selected to attend
```

the Army War College in Carlisle, Pennsylvania, on my

Let's just stay in Saudi Arabia for a moment. Q. Did your work there bring you in contact with members of

the Saudi royal family and the Saudi government? 9

Α. Oh, yes. That was a very large part of what I was doing. In North Yemen, I had a lot of Russians, Chinese, and querrillas to fool around with. In Saudi Arabia, the principal target of our efforts was the Saudi government itself, to find out what they were doing, to influence their actions, that kind of thing. So I spent a great deal of time in Riyadh, in the Ministry of the Defense, and Aviation, in the Foreign Ministry, or at the Justice Ministry sometimes because of American prisoners who had to be dealt with. And my Arabic was really quite good. And so -- actually it was better, really, than the State Department people, almost all of them in the embassy.

So I was often asked to go with the ambassador or other senior dignitaries to visit senior people in the Saudi government, provincial governors, ministers of

state, various royal princes. And often I acted as their interpreter because otherwise nothing would have gotten done. And visiting firemen as well. I remember an astronaut in particular that I took out to visit people.

I was also acting in conjunction with the CIA station there in the business of the clandestine intelligence, and I really can't go any further than that in an unclassified thing, but we had a very satisfactory outcome with regard to that.

- Q. Okay. Now, you said that you came back and were at the War College. Tell us about that, please.
- A. Well, the course is the senior most course for Army officers and other people from other services, few civilians who were sent there. It's for lieutenant colonels and colonels. The selection rate is 2 percent for those eligible in a given in year. So it's selected by national board. It's not something you apply for. And so it's a course on grand strategy, the management of Defense Department resources, some advanced business on international relations, subjects of that kind. I was there for a year.
- Q. And when you concluded with that, was there a selection for promotion that you were told you weren't going to take because something else was going to happen

with you?

A. It wasn't a promotion. I was selected for brigade command, which was something I was very happy about, by an international board. And then I was told by the Department of the Army bureaucracy that I was not going to take command because I was too valuable doing what I was doing in the intelligence and the Middle East business. And that I could forget about that. I was going to stay as much as a specialist as I was.

And then I had a nice phone call from the director of the Defense Intelligence Agency, Lieutenant General James Williams, who asked me to take a very good job in DIA headquarters.

- Q. And for the next seven years, give or take, what did you do, sir?
- A. Well, the job -- and this is a job title rather than its description was to be the defense intelligence officer for the Middle East, South Asia in counterterrorism.

And in that job, I controlled everything that DIA did with regard to those specific areas, the Middle East, South Asia in counterterrorism, everything in collection, in comparative analysis, in coordination with all the other parts of government, and in supporting our principal clients, who were the Joint

```
Chiefs of Staff. And in my case, specifically the Chairman, I was his personal liaison officer to the intelligence community, and the Secretary of Defense, first Caspar Weinberger, and then Frank Carlucci, and then Cheney. And I did that for seven years.
```

And in this job, my biggest position -- there was a whole board of defense intelligence officers for different subject matters, and we all worked directly for the director of DIA, had no other superior.

And the principal job was to lead analysis in the -- the strategic analysis of your field of endeavor, and to make judgments between different lines of analysis by a large number of analysts who are really scholars, really, who have high security clearances.

And these people are very competent people. And they obviously will have differing opinions.

It was their job, the DIO, to decide amongst them as to which lines of approach were correct, which were not, and to help them develop those things, to approve all the documents and briefings, sometimes to give the documents and briefings. And to be the principal officer in Joint Chiefs of Staff planning for that area of the world or that function and also for being the principal officer for DIA participation in the formation of national estimates, which are the -- in the

```
106
```

```
intelligence community sitting as a whole deciding what
tis that is the government's truth on a given subject,
like the Palestinians or something like that.
```

- Q. And when you said that that is a responsibility of the DIO, that was you --
  - A. That's me.
  - Q. -- DIO?

- A. Yeah. I had that job for seven years. I was surprised when I was given that job because I had never really been an analyst before. I had always been a collector in clandestine operations, Special Forces operator in the field, infantry officer, things like that, and so I was surprised to do that. But it worked out very well.
  - If I hadn't been good at this and if my products had not been appreciated by the Secretary of Defense and Chairman of the Joint Chiefs, and all these other very senior persons, I would have been gone very quickly.
- Q. And as you indicated, you served at least three different Secretaries of Defense?
- A. Yes.
- Q. You were continued on as they would take office?
- 25 A. Yeah, that's right. And since I dealt with

2.5

```
them almost everyday, they had plenty of opportunity to say if they didn't like it. All it would have taken was one phone call to the director of DIA. And -- but we went on quite nicely.
```

And in that period of time, we had our undeclared war with Libya, and we had the Iran-Iraq war in which the United States played a major role. Can't say too much more about that. Then we had the First Gulf War. And then I survived through all of that in this function.

- Q. Now, during the course of your responsibilities as the DIO, would you from time to time participate in personal briefings of the President of the United States?
- A. I did, in fact. And when George H.W. Bush was president, I briefed him half a dozen times in the time of Desert Storm, the First Gulf War. I had briefed President Reagan several times before that. And I never got around to President Clinton. I had moved on to something else about that time. But I did that quite often.

I used to also brief foreign leaders a great deal. I briefed King Abdullah of Saudi Arabia several times, and his predecessor, King Fahd. I briefed Prince Saud Faisal, the Foreign Minister of Saudi Arabia; Turki

```
1
    Faisal, the former head of General Intelligence in --
2
    you need some spellings?
             THE REPORTER: I'll get them.
 3
       Α.
             Yeah. And I used to go over to Jordan and
 4
    brief His Majesty King Hussein and various officials
5
    over there, other people around the Arab world.
 6
7
             And in your briefings, you would be providing
       Q.
8
    to these people, as well as the Secretaries of Defense
    and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs, your assessment on
9
10
    a variety of matters related to terrorism, counter-
    terrorism, and some of the more specific subjects that
11
12
    you've already mentioned?
13
       Α.
             Yes. In addition to all the specific things on
    the Middle East and all the things that were happening,
14
    you know, there is always something happening in the
15
16
    Middle East, you know, the counterterrorism thing, for
17
    which we had a large analytic staff was a big subject
18
    for me. Altogether I was supervising the work of about
19
    130 analysts in grades up to GS15, that's like a colonel
20
    in the Army, you know. And we did that all the time.
21
             Counterterrorism was a big subject. We had a
22
    lot of activity in that time. There was a lot of
23
    activity with the Abu Nidal organization, and the
24
    Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine, and its
```

variant, the General Command; the PLO, the -- and

```
1
    various organizations like that. They were all the
2
    focus of our attention all the time.
             Sir, did you retire from active military
3
       Q.
    service during the period that you were serving as the
 4
    DIO?
5
       Α.
             Yes. I got a very good break. I -- in 1988,
 6
7
    the director of DIA -- I found out I wasn't going to get
    promoted in the Army because they didn't want to promote
8
    anybody who was a specialist in the Middle East, so the
9
10
    director of DIA asked me if I would stay on as a
11
    civilian if he made this a job in the Defense
12
    Intelligence Senior Executive Service. And I said, yes,
13
    I'll do that. So they got permission from the Congress
    for that. And I signed out of one thing and into the
14
    other the same day.
15
16
            And in terms of the equivalency of the rank
       Q.
    that you held after you resigned, would that relate in
17
    any way to a rank within the military?
18
19
             I was promoted several times in the Senior
20
    Executive Service, and I ended up as an MP-4, which
21
    is -- an EP-4, Executive Program 4, which is the
22
    equivalent in the Armed Forces of a lieutenant general.
```

And were there any other honors or distinctions that came your way in that period?

23

24

2.5

Α. Yes. I was -- I was awarded the Presidential Rank of Distinguished Executive, which is the highest
award you can -- the highest professional award you can

Q. And that was awarded by which president?

be given in the Civil Service of the United States.

A. President G.H.W. Bush.

- Q. Now, when you left the DI -- your service at the DIO, you took up another position within the government?
- A. Yeah. After seven years, we had a new director in DIA. And he decided that a lot of people had been in the same jobs too long, so he asked me if there was another job I'd like. So I said I'd like to go be the director of Defense HUMINT Collection. So they sent me over there. And I did that for two years.
  - Q. What generally did that job entail?
  - A. Well, it was really the kind of thing I really like. I was in charge of all the attachés, military defense, naval and air attachés in the world, and everything they did.

And also I was in charge of all the clandestine assets of the Defense Department. I had the chance to reorganize them all into one service, the Defense HUMINT Service. And then I had a lot of little detachments that -- bought foreign equipment for reverse engineering and various other specialized jobs like that.

so I did that until 2006. And during that period --

then I stopped working with them.

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

```
During that period, I started developing a
private consultancy with the government, principally,
because I was no longer an employee of that company, so
I started working for the government again.
         So in terms of the work with the corporation --
   Ο.
and this is FMS, Incorporated?
         Yeah, it's Future Pipe Group really is the name
   Α.
of the group there.
         Roughly how much of your time, if any, did you
   Q.
spend in the Middle East from 1994 to roughly 2000,
2001?
   Α.
         Well, when I first went to work for the
company, I went out and lived in Dubai for six months to
become -- there was a big factory there, so I wanted to
become familiar with the manufacturing process. And so
I did that. Then I came back to my home. And I -- we
set up offices in Washington. And I ran a subsidiary of
the company out of it for the same things, for business
document, government relations, and contract
negotiation. And then eventually we started building
factories in the United States in the Gulf Region.
         Did you continue to travel to the Gulf of the
```

- Middle East up until 2000 when you stopped your employment?
- 2.5 Α. I did. I would go -- every two months or so, I

```
1
    would go for a week or two out to the Gulf, to Saudi
2
    Arabia, to everyplace out there, Egypt where we had
    business. And I did a lot of work in Saudi Arabia in
3
    the Gulf because there is a big market there, and we had
 4
    several factories in the Gulf. And I would -- spent a
5
 6
    lot of time cultivating sources among the people I
7
    already knew in the Saudi government establishment; and
    then new people, bankers, businessmen, lots of business
8
    with fixing contracts, and watching how they did things,
9
    and doing financing jobs for particular contracts with
10
11
    their banks, things of that kind.
```

- Q. So in that roughly six, seven-year period, you maintained the contacts that you had had with people who were in government when you were working with the United States government?
- Α. And I built up a lot more.
- 17 Ο. More contacts?
  - Yeah. Α.

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- You also functioned directly as a business Q. executive in, as you've indicated, a number of the Middle Eastern countries, including Saudi Arabia?
  - Α. Yeah, most particularly in Saudi Arabia, yeah.
- Q. And you became familiar with some of the Saudi business practices through that personal experience?
- I used to watch them all the time. Before I Α.

```
went into business in the Middle East, I thought I knew a lot about how Arabs functioned in business and government and things like this. And once I started functioning in business as one of them, I found out I had a lot more to learn. So there were a lot of things to learn in those six years.

Q. Now, let me just ask a little bit about the
```

Q. Now, let me just ask a little bit about the types of information that you would have access to and be dealing with in your analysis. And if we need to go into a closed session or you need to stop your answers, just keep your eye on that ball, please.

In terms of attempting to determine what was going on in Saudi Arabia --

A. Among other places.

- Q. Well, let me focus on Saudi Arabia for a moment. Did you develop an understanding through your work with the government the way in which the Saudi government functioned and the type of control it exerted over institutions -- charitable institutions in Saudi Arabia?
  - A. Yes. I mean --
- MR. GORDER: Your Honor --
- THE COURT: Just a moment.
- MR. GORDER: Your Honor, if I could object and ask for some clarification as to where we're headed.

```
1
    Mr. Lang has been proffered as an expert to testify at
2
    the trial. I fail to see the relevancy of U.S.
    government collection efforts in Saudi Arabia to this
3
    trial that we are going to try next month. And whether
 4
    he's an expert on that or not, seems really beside the
5
 6
    point.
7
             THE COURT: Yeah. I'll give my rulings on
    those matters later. As long as you stay away from
8
    things that can't happen in open court, that's fine.
9
10
    I'm going to let you do it.
11
             MR. WAX: Thank you.
12
             THE WITNESS: Well, yes, we were very
13
    interested in how the Saudi society functioned, because
    it's a major ally of the United States. That's when I
14
    was in government. And so, in fact, we applied all of
15
16
    the collection means of the U.S. government, used all
17
    the experts, and all the analytic talent we had to build
18
    up as comprehensive a picture as we could of how they
    functioned.
19
20
             Among the various things would be how the
    governmental entities and the royal family, who really
21
22
    own Saudi Arabia, how they controlled all these
23
    entities, like al-Haramain and the Saudi Joint Relief
24
    Committee, things of that kind, because they were very
2.5
    concerned with in fact maintaining absolute control so
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
116
```

that nothing went wrong in their relations with the United States, for example. Is that your question? BY MR. WAX:

- Ο. Yes. So in terms of the work that you were doing and the expertise that you developed, that included, I take it, expertise in the relations of the Saudi government with the various entities that existed in Saudi Arabia?
- Yes. As a major player in the American 9 Α. intelligence community, we were not just interested in military things, we were interested in a comprehensive picture of all the aspects of Saudi society, 13 governmental, nongovernmental. We wanted to know what made them tick and exactly how they functioned.
  - In terms of your development of expertise with respect to mujahideen and the funding of mujahideen, did your work take you into those areas?
  - Yes. We were -- the United States government Α. has always had a certain problem in distinguishing between mujahid groups who are in one sense can be thought of as fighters for liberation in some contexts, and terrorist groups in another sense who are often extremist Islamic groups. So we were very interested in developing as big a database, often interacting with civilian people's databases, as to exactly what the

```
layout was of all these groups and how they interacted.

And I was in charge of that in the Department of

Defense. And I went to all of the meetings in the --

across the intelligence community in which these matters

were discussed and decided upon.
```

- Q. Let's look at the last 10 years or so of your work since you began serving as a consultant. If I understood correctly, you said that you have continued to work for the United States government but in a consulting capacity?
- 11 A. Yes.

2.5

- 12 Q. Did I understand correctly?
- 13 A. You understood correctly, yeah.
  - Q. Could you please explain to us in as much detail as you can the nature of your consulting work for the government over the last 10 years or so.
  - A. Well, I'm a well-known figure in the world of military affairs and the Middle East and counterterrorism in the United States government, and amongst contractor companies that support the government in these endeavors. So starting seven or eight years ago, I began to get more and more offers to work for various contracting companies or parts of the government itself for short periods of time, three or four days, two weeks, a month, something like this, in specific

```
Lang - D
```

```
planning scenarios for the Middle East, in war gaming, both political and military, and in writing various things for them.

For example, myself and several associates
```

wrote a book on the Bedouin tribes of the Al-Anbar

Governorate in Iraq for the Defense Intelligence Agency
in 2006, which has become -- it's a classified document.

The title is not. But it's -- but it was -- it's been
an important document in the war in Iraq. And any
number of other projects like that.

I have several ongoing at the present time.

And I have a security clearance at present, which is secret. Before, I had a security clearance for a special compartment of intelligence, and with a whole lot of compartments in it. And -- but at present it's secret because my work has not required anything more.

- Q. Have you done work consulting for both the Bush and Obama administrations in the twenty-first century, I guess we're in?
- A. Oh, yes, to both. It doesn't matter to me, of course, which party is in power, but, yes, in fact --
- Q. Both have called you back and called on your expertise?
  - A. Yeah, the government has, yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, in terms of writing, we have in

```
your CV a number of articles. I just want to draw your
1
2
    attention to a couple of them. There is the book listed
    Intelligence: The Human Factor published in 2004?
3
       Α.
             Uh-huh, that's correct. That was cleared by
 4
5
    DIA. And that is written on historical examples and it
 6
    is kind of primer in espionage.
7
             The Tribes of the Al-Anbar Governorate
       Q.
    published in June of 2006?
8
             That's the one I just mentioned.
9
       Α.
10
       Ο.
             All right. An article entitled "Drinking the
    Kool-Aid, Middle East Policy," 2004, does that discuss
11
12
    anything about goings-on in Saudi Arabia and/or funding
    of --
13
             No. This is -- this is about the intelligence
14
       Α.
15
    contest over -- and the run-up to the war in Iraq.
            Okay. "Wahhabism and Jihad" published 2003,
16
       Q.
    subject matter of that would be what?
17
18
             That would be specifically the role and
       Α.
19
    function of the Wahhabi, that brand of Islam within
20
    Saudi society and the effect that it's had in sculpting
21
    Saudi society over the last 100 years or so.
```

- Q. Another "Al-Qaeda and the Jihadis,"
- 23 September 2006, subject matter of that?

- A. Basically Islamic terrorism.
- Q. And "Islam: Monotheistic but not Monolithic,"

```
January 2007?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- That's a -- I wrote that for the Catholic Yes. people charities for the Middle East, they wanted an encyclopedic view for their magazine, you know, the various types of Islam, and the basic tenets. So it's a -- kind of a tour d'horizon. T-O-U-R, D, apostrophe, H-O-R-I-Z-O-N.
- Have you, in addition to providing input to Q. members of the Executive Branch been called upon by Congress to testify as an expert before any number of Congressional committees?
- Α. I haven't done that very much since I left the government, but when I was in government, I testified 3 or 400 times before the Oversight Committees, the Armed Forces Committees, the Appropriations Committees, the Foreign Affairs Committees. That was one of my major jobs.
- Since you left the government service and also Ο. since you left the corporation, have you testified as an expert witness in any federal courts?
- Yes, I have. I testified in 2003, I think, in Α. a civil action in the District Court of the District of Columbia before Judge Royce Lamberth in a case involving a number of plaintiffs against the government of Iran for material support of terrorism involving Meir

Kahane's murder.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

And last week, I testified in another civil action in the same court before another judge, whose name I don't remember exactly. And it was against the government of Syria for material support of terrorism in a couple of incidents that occurred back in the late 1980s. And the victims were suing for damages against Syria.

And there have been about six or seven other cases involving a mass murder in the Dallas area by a strange young man. And a number of habeas court cases involving Guantanamo people.

- Q. And in some of those habeas cases, while you have not testified, have you provided declarations and been accepted as an expert by the courts?
  - All of them. Α.
- Ο. Okay. Now I'd like to ask you specifically about Chechnya for a moment. And if you can tell us whether or not during the course of your work for the government and out of the government you've developed any familiarity and expertise about Chechnya.
- Well, as a full-time government and Army specialist in the Islamic countries, which is what I was, and then the head of all that kind of business in the Defense Intelligence Agency, the -- rather the

```
states and areas marginal to the Arab Islamic world, like the states in the Caucasus, and Central Asia, way down in Africa, were always of great interest to me because they were part of the orbit of Islamic affairs, and my work intersected with that of my colleagues who were the DIOs for the Soviet Union, for example. And so these areas were always the subject of great discussion, and with regard to all the papers that were written within DIA and the intelligence community. And I participated in all those discussions.
```

I don't claim to be an expert specifically in the Caucasus, but this was always a matter of which I took great care to inform myself.

Q. During the course of your work, both within the government and subsequently, have the opportunity to work with or receive information from what is now the FSB, Russian Security Service?

A. Because I was a clandestine intelligence officer for many years in different places, often the targets were members of the KGB and then GRU and officers of that kind. And I had long experience in dealing with them in the field. And then in DIA headquarters, they were the object of great concern to us because of their activities in the kinds of areas you are talking about.

And I find it very hard to believe -- this is my opinion -- that the FSB is a great deal different than the KGB. And I would cite that incident that occurred with regard to an American diplomat stationed in Mexico who was honey trapped in Moscow by the FSB. And it was widely written up in the newspapers, and this is last year, I believe.

- Q. Have you had the opportunity in the last few years to discuss with any of your colleagues, former colleagues, what is going on currently with the FSB and get any input from any of those people about their views of the FSB?
- A. Because of my ongoing consulting business for the government, and when I go to events for which I've been hired by the government, there are colleagues there who are old colleagues of mine, there are people who currently work in the government who are very current in these things, and I don't hear any great level of trust expressed by them for the FSB and its veracity in matters in which the interests of the Russian State might conflict with the truth.
- Q. And the people with whom you are having these conversations are the same type of people on whom you would have relied in your work as the DIO, and then as the director of HUMINT?

```
A. Oh, yes. Same level, same kind of people, same agencies.
```

- Q. Now, in terms of this particular case, we contacted you now quite some time ago, and you provided two declarations that are not classified in any way, one related to the FSB, the other related to the types of information that should be available in this court. And you more recently provided a declaration that was prepared in a classified setting, correct?
- A. Yes.

- Q. All right. We provided you some materials in order for you to provide some assistance, and let me see if I can quickly review that. Did we provide you a copy of the indictment?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. You read it and understood the nature of the conspiracy and tax charges and the El-Fiki donation, et cetera?
- 19 A. Yes, very, I do.
  - Q. Have we provided you a set of all of the exhibits that the government has marked, at least those up until, I guess, last Friday, I think we provided you copies, did we not?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And did we, over the weekend, have the

opportunity for you to look at some new exhibits that the government marked toward the end of last week?

- A. Yes, at your offices here.
- Q. Did we also provide you with a full set of the materials that we have marked as potential defense exhibits?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Have we, in addition, provided you some other reports, witness interviews, et cetera, prepared by the FBI and the IRS related to the investigation which has been conducted in this matter?
- A. Yes.
- Q. In terms of the understanding that you have of the issues here, I'd like to ask you a couple of questions. In attempting to determine the -- let's start with the money, what happened to the money that was originally donated by Mr. El-Fiki, what would you -- I'm going to ask this one of several ways. What would you have done had you been in a position to be attempting to determine that? What, given your years of experience with the government, would you have expected the government to do in the effort to determine what actually happened with the El-Fiki money?
  - A. You mean once it got to Saudi Arabia?
- 25 Q. Yes.

A. A couple of things. I would have, first of all, gone and tuned up the collection apparatus of the U.S. government, especially in a Signals Intelligence area, to have them collecting against the kind of information you wanted.

The transfer of funds in -- across international banking boundaries and things of that kind anyway are the kinds of transactions which are readily accessible to the United States government.

The second thing I would have done is I would have gone to Saudi Arabia, and I would have spoken directly to the really responsible officials who sat on the boards of these institutions, at al-Haramain and the Saudi Joint Relief Committee, who are really -- a lot of them are very senior people in the Saudi government and royal family. And I see no reason why they would have thought it would not have been the right thing to do and in their interest in order to describe what happened to the money. And I don't know whether or not that was done, but I don't see any evidence of it having been.

MR. WAX: Your Honor, I think the next questions that I want to ask may need to be asked in a less populated courtroom.

THE COURT: All right. How much more do you have?

```
1
             MR. WAX: Maybe 20 minutes total.
2
             THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not sure you have that
3
    much time left but you have some time. So let's --
    that's fine. We'll have a secure courtroom. So those
 4
    who don't have the proper clearance, I need to ask you
5
 6
    to leave.
7
             MR. WAX: Your Honor, with respect to
    Mr. Casey, he has a clearance through the Guantanamo
8
    work. He has not yet had a need-to-know order entered
9
10
    in this case. What is your pleasure with respect to
11
    him?
12
             THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Casey, but I don't
13
    want to take any chances.
             MR. CARDANI: Judge, I'm sorry, can Evan
14
15
    Kohlmann return back to New York?
             THE COURT: As far as I'm concerned, yes. Do
16
17
    you want him here this afternoon?
18
             MR. WAX: Unless the court intends to permit me
19
    to ask more questions --
20
             THE COURT: I don't.
21
             MR. WAX: -- there wouldn't be any point in him
22
    staying. But if you do, I would love that opportunity.
23
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Okay.
24
             (Further proceedings were held in a closed
25
    session and are bound under separate cover or are not
```

```
1
    transcribed.)
2
             (Proceedings resume in open court at 2:25 p.m.)
3
             THE COURT: Thank you. I did want to tell
    counsel that I've scheduled time in the next few weeks
4
    to do another personal complete review of all the
5
    classified materials in the case.
 6
7
             Are you finished with the witness?
             MR. WAX: Yes, Your Honor. And before
8
    Mr. Slade leaves, I have an envelope that I should
9
10
    probably return to him.
11
             THE COURT: Thank you. Let's give it to him
12
    now. That's great.
13
             MR. WAX: Thank you.
14
             THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Wax. All right.
15
    Mr. Gorder.
16
             MR. GORDER: Thank you, Your Honor.
17
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
    BY MR. GORDER:
18
19
             Mr. Lang --
       Q.
20
             Excuse me, sir, I'm a retired regular Army
       Α.
    officer with the grade of colonel. I would appreciate
21
22
    it if you would address me by my rank.
23
       Q.
           Very well. Colonel Lang, you left Saudi Arabia
24
    in 1985.
25
             THE REPORTER: Excuse me, is your microphone
```

```
1
    on?
2
             MR. GORDER: Is that better?
3
             THE REPORTER:
                             Thank you.
    BY MR. GORDER:
4
             You left Saudi Arabia in 1985?
5
       Ο.
 6
             Yes, I believe that -- either '84 or '85.
       Α.
7
             And as far as while you were in government
       Q.
    service, you were not stationed there after that date;
8
    is that correct?
9
10
       Α.
             No. But I've been there many times. Would you
11
    like me to say how?
12
       Q.
             No, that's fine.
13
       Α.
             Okay.
             You left government service in 1994; is that
14
       Q.
15
    correct?
             That is correct, July, something like that.
16
       Α.
17
       Ο.
             Now, the work you did for FMS, Incorporated,
18
    you indicated that that was primarily a company that
19
    sold pipe throughout the Middle East; is that correct?
             Yes. I also set up and ran the owner's family
20
       Α.
    foundation, yeah.
21
22
             What kind of piping are we talking about?
       Q.
23
             We're talking about ferroconcrete pipe in
    dimensions up to three meters, and various kinds of
24
25
    fiberglass pipe in diameters up to five meters for
```

2.5

```
applications in the municipal water -- sewage and water flowing business, in the petrochemical industry, in -- and in oil field operations. We also have an engineering company that did a complete lay-down of the system for you, built all of the joints and phalanges and things and all that.
```

- Q. Okay. Now, in connection with that, you didn't do any studies of Islamic charities; is that right?
  - A. Not in that period of time, no.
  - Q. So from 1994, you haven't studied that issue?
- A. No, that's not correct. The Middle East is my business and the Islamic world is my business. And I have continued to study and sought to improve myself in this field for my whole life.
- Q. And what -- have you published anything in that regard?
  - A. Yeah. Mr. Wax mentioned several articles that I have published in places. I will say, I mean, I'm not a scholar, as Mr. Kohlmann is. I'm a professional intelligence officer. And if the issue of peer review arises, professional intelligence officers don't do peer reviews in the same way that scholars do. In fact, we do it by a comparison of work amongst ourselves, amongst colleagues, and across the community.
    - Q. And the articles that you mentioned with

```
1
    Mr. Wax, which ones of those deal with Islamic
2
    charities?
             I don't think there are any that deal
3
       Α.
    specifically with Islamic charities, no.
 4
             Have you published anything about al-Haramain?
 5
       0.
       Α.
             No, I have not.
 6
7
             In the time that you worked for the government
       Q.
    through 1994, did you have any occasion to study the
8
    activities of al-Haramain?
9
10
             Certainly. Because they were part of the total
11
    galaxy of activities that were suspected by the
12
    government of the United States as being in support of
13
    Islamic terrorist activities everywhere. But once
    again, you don't publish things for the general public
14
15
    in the intelligence community. You publish them for the
16
    government.
             When was al-Haramain started?
17
       Ο.
18
             I don't remember.
       Α.
19
             Have you published anything about Chechnya?
       Q.
20
       Α.
             No, I have not.
             And after you left the government in 1994, did
21
       Q.
22
    you do any studying of the conflict in the Caucasus?
23
```

Yes, I did. I read many things about it, and I have taken a continuing interest in the development of the conflict there from the point in time of which the

24

2.5

```
leadership of the Chechen movement were either Sufis of
the Naqshbandi order or former Soviet military officers.
And gradually over the years they came to be replaced,
in large part, by Wahhabi agents and various kinds of
takfiri jihadis. Yes, I've kept track.
```

- Q. Okay. Who is Ibn Khattab?
- A. He's one of the leaders of the movement there.
- Q. And what is he doing today?
- A. Oh, I don't know. If you are going to -- want to ask me things at that level of detail, I'm not going to be able to answer your questions. But, in fact, the issue here, as I understand it in this trial, is what happened to the money once it got to Saudi Arabia and where did it go? Did it go to support a militant jihadism in Chechnya? Not what the order of battle is of the Chechen guerrillas.
- Q. Okay. I'm just trying to ascertain your expertise in --
- 19 A. I'm not -- that's fine. I --
- 20 Q. -- the Caucasus.
- A. Yeah.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. During the time that you worked for the Defense
  Intelligence Agency, did you work with the FBI in their
  law enforcement function?
- 25 A. No. I generally worked with them in their --

```
when I did work with them, it was in their counter-
intelligence function, and that side of the Bureau. And
they're not very easy to work with most of the time.
```

- Q. So you would not say you have an expertise in how the FBI collects information inside the United States?
- A. No, that's not true at all. I've participated in many discussions about this. And I've now participated in so many of these court cases that I have read a great many of their reports. And it's very easy to see how they do this.

In this case in Dallas that was mentioned involving Smadi who the FBI recruited to blow up an office building, in fact, I reviewed all the videotapes of the entire process of recruitment, training, and execution of the pseudooperation, I've paid a lot of attention to how they collect these -- collect things. I think they do it very well.

- Q. You indicated that you testified in a couple of cases in 2003 that would involve civil actions; is that correct?
  - A. And last week.
- Q. And last week. What was the nature of your testimony? What was the subject matter?
- 25 A. Well, in the case of the -- in both cases, it

Lang - X

```
1
    had to do largely with -- in addition to my general
2
    understanding from my supervisory role in the analysis
    of the actions of the Iranian and Syrian governments in
3
    support of terrorism at those times, in the case of the
 4
    case against Syria, I was personally a participant in
5
    the day -- in this thing the day that it occurred in
 6
7
    that I was called into the office of the Chairman of the
    Joint Chiefs of Staff, Admiral William Crowe, to
8
    translate for him the conversation he was having on the
9
10
    telephone with the Egyptian officers on the airfield in
11
    Malta where the aircraft was stormed by Egyptian
12
    commandos, so I was actually a kind of witness to that.
13
       Q.
             And what was the time frame of that?
14
       Α.
             That particular thing was the 23rd of
15
    November 1988.
16
       Q.
             And the case involving Iran --
17
       Α.
             You mean when was the occurrence?
18
       Q.
             Yes.
19
             I don't remember when Meir Kahane was murdered.
       Α.
20
    It was -- I just don't remember. This was quite a while
21
    ago now.
22
             But before you left government service?
       Q.
23
       Α.
             Did it occur before I left government service?
24
       Q.
             Yes.
25
       Α.
             I don't remember that, if it was or not.
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

```
And in the case last week, what was that about?
1
      Ο.
```

- I just told you. It was about the hijacking by Α. the Abu Nidal group of an EgyptAir airliner en route from Athens to Cairo and its diversion into Malta where it sat on the ground for a while. And the hijackers killed several Americans or tried to -- they killed one American and tried to kill two more. And the Egyptians brought in a lot of commando troops. And after some hours of procrastination, they decided to assault the airplane and they killed 60 people.
- Maybe I misunderstood you. I thought that was Q. a case that you testified in 2003?
- No. That was -- the case in 2003 had to do Α. with the culpability of the Iranian government in material support of the terrorists in New York who murdered Meir Kahane and killed several other people who happened to be on the scene.
  - Okay. That murder occurred late '80s, early 0. '90s sometime?
  - A. I really don't remember. I wouldn't want to mislead you.
- 22 Have you done any studies of pro-Chechen 23 material?
- 24 Α. Only in the materials that have been sent to me 25 by the defense that were found, I guess, in -- on the

```
1
    scene somewhere.
2
             But no others?
       Q.
3
             No. I'm not terribly interested in the
       Α.
 4
    propaganda of Islamic militant groups.
5
            Are you familiar with the Benevolence
       Ο.
6
    International Foundation?
7
            The what?
       Α.
             Benevolence International Foundation.
8
       Q.
             I'm sorry, I'm getting deaf, I guess. I really
9
       Α.
10
    couldn't hear that.
11
             THE COURT: Benevolence International
    Foundation.
12
13
             THE WITNESS: No, I am not familiar with them.
    BY MR. GORDER:
14
15
       Ο.
             The Global Relief Foundation?
16
       Α.
             No.
17
       0.
             Do you know who Enaam Arnaout is?
18
             What's the name?
       Α.
19
       Q.
             Enaam Arnaout. Now, I may be mispronouncing
20
    that.
             No, I don't know who that is. I do not know
21
       Α.
22
    who that is.
23
             During your time up through 1994, did you work
24
    with the IRS in their law enforcement function?
2.5
       Α.
             No.
```

```
1
             MR. GORDER: Nothing further, Your Honor.
2
             THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, sir. You
3
    may step down.
             THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
 4
             THE COURT: Counsel, many of the other matters
 5
    before the court are motions that are typical in these
6
7
    cases, and I have ideas about how they'll be decided
8
    already. If there is a particular one that you wish to
    add more discussion today, you may.
9
10
             The other thing is that I understand that
11
    today, I didn't really have a long conversation on this,
12
    but some of the government's exhibits are going to be
13
    withdrawn or replaced. So I'd like to make as clear a
    record in that regard.
14
15
             I have reviewed the objections that the parties
    have made to exhibits, and I am ready to rule on those,
16
    but I'm going to do so in the form of a minute order.
17
    But if there are other -- I'd like to know what is the
18
19
    state of the exhibits right now so that I can give you
20
    rulings on most of the exhibits before trial.
21
             And I will tell you, since I'm doing it before
22
    trial, if there are some that there is a -- that's
23
    indicated that someone is going to be called to provide
24
    a foundation and there is not agreement on foundation,
25
    and if that person is not called, then I can be asked to
```

```
1
    reconsider that ruling at the trial. In other words, if
2
    you agree on foundation, no; but on the other hand, if
    there is not agreement and the foundation of a witness
3
    is not called by the party who's the proponent of the
 4
    exhibit, then you can give a -- the other side can ask
5
    that I reconsider my ruling. But I'm going to try to
6
7
    give you advanced rulings so your game plan can be guite
    organized before we start the trial because when we
8
    start, we're going to move right along.
9
10
             So are there other matters that you want to
11
    give me some argument on?
12
             MR. CARDANI: May I have a moment, Your Honor?
13
             THE COURT: Yes.
             (Discussion held off the record.)
14
15
             THE COURT: Okay. Actually, I hadn't seen
16
    this, but I've been busy trying to listen to your other
    thing. Apparently, I do have a list provided that
17
18
    certain exhibits are withdrawn by the government and
19
    certain ones are added. And have you informed defense
20
    of which witnesses -- or which exhibits have been
21
    withdrawn?
22
                           Yes, Your Honor.
                                              That same list
             MR. CARDANI:
23
    was provided to Mr. Wax with a full set of changes. And
24
    by way of background, when we met -- we met in Portland
2.5
    for the better part of a day, the defense and us. A lot
```

```
1
    of the government's exhibits contain surplusage at the
2
    bottom. You run something off the printer, it has some
    extra stuff that we had to scrub. So the bottom
3
    category there, we had to do a lot of work.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: Where changes are made.
             MR. CARDANI: Pardon?
 6
7
             THE COURT: Where it says changes made to
    exhibits.
8
9
             MR. CARDANI: Yes, Your Honor, by and large
10
    that's what that was done for.
11
             Certain exhibits we've reconsidered and
12
    withdrawn, as we've noted. And others we have added.
13
    We've provided those to the defense. By and large these
14
    are the ones that have been in their possession for
    quite some time. And so that list right there is
15
16
    accurate through today.
17
             There'll be a few exhibits along the way, we
18
    anticipate minor changes along the way, we may have some
19
    additions. But this brings us up to speed.
20
             The defense was provided with all this stuff.
    We've updated the court's books. Special Agent Anderson
21
22
    did that today and updated the court's books. So we
23
    should all be on the same sheet with respect to this
24
    list.
2.5
             THE COURT: Mr. Baker, I didn't try to remember
```

```
1
    exhibit numbers and so on when I was reviewing this this
2
    weekend. Do you know whether -- well, Mr. Wax has -- do
    you have objections to any of those that are under the
3
    category "exhibits added"? And have you given me those
 4
    objections?
5
 6
             MR. WAX: I believe we got these on Friday,
7
    Your Honor. And I looked at them yesterday. We will
8
    have some objections. We have not been able to put that
    together. We do have a number of other exhibits that
9
10
    we've identified that up to now that we intend to offer.
11
    I've just not had the time to get those into a
12
    supplemental list and get copies to the government.
13
    We'll try to get that done this week.
14
             THE COURT: All right. Get me any objections
    you have this week also, please.
15
16
             MR. WAX: Yes, we'll do so.
17
             THE COURT: All right. Anything further?
18
             MR. WAX: Yes, Your Honor, a couple of things,
19
    if we could, please.
20
             THE COURT: You may.
21
             MR. WAX: First is a ministerial type question.
22
    As we read the court's minute order, we understood that
23
    you wanted exhibits in folders.
24
             THE COURT: Yes.
2.5
             MR. WAX: And we provided you notebooks.
                                                        I did
```

2.5

```
bring down a set of the exhibits. We had previously marked each one divided out in a folder, which, if you would still like those, we would like to leave them with you today.
```

of our record exhibits. And then the reason they are useful for us -- the books can work too, but as you know -- well, if you don't, you will find out, that I want to know at least on a daily basis which exhibits you intend to use with a witness so that when the witness takes the stand, those exhibits can be in the folders on the -- at the stand with them, and so we don't waste time fumbling for exhibits.

MR. WAX: We will leave those before we leave.

THE COURT: Thank you. That's what they are for. I think Ms. Mermis may have started that. It works pretty well, so.

MR. WAX: Okay. In terms of the exhibits that we have marked, we noted in our pleading portion of the exhibit list, that we distinguish between exhibits that we are offering in something akin to a case in chief and documents that we intend to use or may use with government witnesses in cross. And we want to be sure that as you are thinking about what we have offered, that you keep in mind that many of those may be used

solely for cross-examination purposes.

2.5

THE COURT: There are some, but if you want to use them, that's what they'll be used for. But the question I have is more basic: Do you want me only to give you rulings on exhibits that you intend to use in your direct case -- direct defense? I want -- I'll review all of them, but there are a number like that, and that aren't admissible unless on cross. There are a number of things that are appropriate for, perhaps, refreshing recollection, that sort of thing, but they're hearsay, for example.

MR. WAX: I think, Judge, that if there are some that you view as out of bounds for any purpose, it would certainly help us to know that.

THE COURT: I'll try to tell you, even if it's not a ruling upfront. There are a number of them, for example, that are hearsay, and that's only -- you know, you can only use that for certain limited purposes.

MR. WAX: We understand. And we also intend and believe that the rule of evidence permit us to use hearsay with experts. And I hope you'll keep that in mind as well in terms of some of the ones that are hearsay.

THE COURT: Within limits, though. Years ago I tried condemnation cases about scenic easements on the

```
Roque River. And the expert witnesses when asked -- and
    the government's witness was a witness named Cap
    Vandagrift from Medford, and when asked how he came up
3
    with his opinion he said, I talked to other people on
 4
    the river and asked them how much the view was worth to
5
    them on their property.
6
7
             MR. WAX: I don't think we're going there, Your
    Honor. I think where we're going is where the Ninth
8
    Circuit just gave us some guidance in the Stever case in
9
10
    terms of exhibits.
11
             THE COURT: I know you are. I'm just having a
12
    little fun.
13
             MR. WAX: Well, I hear you, but I want my
    client to be sure that he understands where we're at
14
15
    here.
             We also have a couple of witnesses we've
16
17
    identified as people we will be adding to a witness
    list, and we'll get that information out as well.
18
19
             We, in the pleading portion of the lists, also
20
    pointed out that if we understand correctly what the
21
    government has provided to us in the nature of witness
22
    and exhibit lists is their direct case only. And if,
23
    indeed, that is what they've done and the court is going
24
    to, you know, permit that what I would call limited
2.5
    disclosure, I think that it is more than appropriate to
```

```
1
    not hold us to provide material that is really crossing
2
    their case.
3
             I think that it would be best in this situation
    if they provided us a full set of materials, because we
4
5
    have provided far more than I think the government has
    any right to under the law. And I just want to
6
7
    re-emphasize that.
8
             THE COURT: I even remember the case that your
    office appealed my --
9
10
             MR. WAX: And won.
11
             THE COURT: -- requirement --
12
             MR. WAX: And won.
13
             THE COURT: And won. I still require it,
14
    though. Look at me.
15
             MR. WAX: I know that. And, look, I did not
16
    object, Your Honor. And that's what I'm saying, I think
17
    we've done more than the law requires.
18
             THE COURT: I don't really care what the Ninth
19
    Circuit says about that.
20
             MR. WAX: Well, we do, Judge.
21
             THE COURT: Well, then don't provide it. But
22
    as you know, it makes more sense to sometimes, whatever
23
    they say.
24
             MR. WAX: So --
25
             THE COURT: Congratulations on your win,
```

```
1
    sincerely so.
2
             MR. WAX: Thank you.
             The difficult thing today, Judge, is
3
    Mr. Matasar and the condition of his wife.
4
             THE COURT: Yes. I know that. Please take my
 5
    best to their family.
6
7
             MR. WAX: I will do that. I'm not sure that we
8
    can be able to try this case on June 7th. He has not
    worked now for a week. Today, while his wife is home,
9
10
    she is at physical and occupational therapists.
                                                      I mean,
11
    there are a whole series of things that they're dealing
12
    with in terms of her condition, and she cannot at this
13
    point be left alone. He doesn't know, I don't know when
14
    he will be able to get back to full engagement in the
15
    case.
16
             And the reality of our situation is that in the
17
    division of labor, you know, we're able to go forward
18
    today because I've been focusing on the, you know,
19
    non-accounting aspects of the case. He's been focusing
20
    on the accounting portion. And meetings that were
    scheduled last week and this week with two of our
21
22
    accounting experts unfortunately had to be cancelled,
23
    and we don't know when they will be rescheduled. Given
24
    the --
25
             THE COURT: We're going to hold the schedule
```

```
1
    for right now. We have a month left, and we'll follow
2
    it. A lot of work has been done. And there is some
    things we can't do anything about, of course. And I
3
    hope that whatever I do will be humane, along with
 4
    right.
5
             MR. WAX: Well, we hope so, too, Your Honor.
6
7
    At this point I just want everyone to be aware that
8
    while we are, to the extent we can, going forward for
    June 7th, I am very concerned that it's just not going
9
10
    to be possible for us. And we will get back to you
11
    perhaps toward the end of the week when the Matasars
12
    have a clearer picture of the progress, you know, that's
13
    made this week, and what the next couple of weeks look
    like.
14
15
             I am trying to remember what the next time we
16
    are scheduled to be back in court dealing with any
    pretrial matters is, if we have anything. We have
17
18
    nothing currently on?
19
             THE CLERK: (Indicating.)
             MR. WAX: Well, you know, we submitted a series
20
    of voir dire motions on Friday. We hope to get a
21
22
    proposed questionnaire to you tomorrow. And at the
23
    latest, that will be on Wednesday.
24
             We have attempted to put together a factual
25
    argument as to why a questionnaire and a more than
```

```
1
    usually intensive voir dire is needed in this case.
2
    hope you look on that with some favor. And if so, think
    that it would be important to have at least some phone
3
    discussions about that so that if you are going to
 4
    permit a questionnaire, that can take place getting out
5
6
    to the venire and getting the information back in plenty
7
    of time for us to actually do something with the
    information.
8
             THE COURT: I'll give you a ruling on those
9
10
    motions long before trial.
11
             MR. WAX: And, you know, you've seen that we
12
    have in addition to the big motions dealing with the
13
    evidence, we filed a couple of supplementals, and --
             THE COURT: I read them this weekend.
14
15
             MR. WAX: -- we -- I don't want to say eagerly
16
    await, but we await your rulings.
17
             THE COURT: You'll get them soon. I have some
18
    ideas about them already.
19
             MR. WAX: If I can have a moment with my
20
    colleagues who are filling in for Mr. Matasar.
21
             THE COURT: You may.
22
                      See if they can channel him to me.
23
             THE COURT: Of course. No one can channel
24
    Larry Matasar.
25
             (Discussion held off the record.)
```

MR. WAX: We will, Judge, be filing another motion in a day or two similar to that which we've been filing with respect to some of the exhibits, and it will be requesting that you hold a hearing in advance of the trial on any statements that the government seeks to offer as statements of coconspirators under the coconspirator exception to the hearsay rule. And you have pending our renewed requests with respect to coconspirators.

I think that what we have seen in the government's recent pleadings puts that issue into higher relief and makes it clear that we're all operating at this point in the dark to a certain extent about who or what the government views as the scope of the conspiracy. And we certainly shouldn't be put in a position in which we might call a witness the government views as a coconspirator and we don't have any idea about that.

So that if there are people believed by the government and identified by the grand jury as unindicted coconspirators, I think we need to know that, and I think you need to do that so that you can make rulings in advance of trial as to whether or not the coconspirator rules would apply and render any statements that the government seeks to offer as

1 admissible. 2 THE COURT: Well, we have two experienced prosecutors. They are aware of the rules in that 3 regard. 4 MR. GORDER: Thank you, Your Honor. We have a 5 6 couple of issues we wanted to bring up. On my behalf, 7 I'm told -- I was told late last week that there is a letter on the way to me from the Prosecuting General's 8 Office in Russia about the proposed testimony of the FSB 9 officer that we've listed as our witness. And my 10 11 understanding is the Russians are putting some 12 conditions on his testimony. But I haven't gotten the 13 letter, so -- and I'm actually -- I at least have a tentative appointment at the Russian Embassy in 14 15 Washington later this week to discuss their conditions. So I wanted to alert the court and counsel that 16 the FSB officer may not ultimately testify. So I 17 18 wouldn't spend a lot of time worrying about his exhibits 19 right now. 20 If that situation changes, we'll let everyone know. But at least if I understand the letter 21 22 correctly, we probably would not be calling him as a 23 trial witness, so -- but I haven't seen it. So that is 24 part of the nature of dealing with foreign governments. 2.5 THE COURT: Among those facts that don't

```
1
    matter, the former head of the Prosecutors in Russia was
2
    at a seminar I was the resource person for on a boat
    down the Volga River, and the important thing is his
3
    name was Evgenii, so he shared the same name as our
 4
    little community here.
5
             MR. GORDER: I'm not sure whether my letter is
6
7
    coming from him or not, Your Honor.
8
             THE COURT: It's not. He's doing something
    else now.
9
10
             MR. GORDER: Okay. And then Mr. Cardani had a
11
    few items.
12
             MR. CARDANI: There are a couple of exhibits,
    Judge, that we've notified counsel that are in the
13
14
    process of being finalized. The Jeremy Christian (sic)
    report is just a report that we're going to offer as a
15
16
    summary for the jury to show which hard drives each of
    our exhibits came from, and then we'll depict that on a
17
18
    floor plan of al-Haramain's Ashland setting.
19
             THE COURT: You'll show that to Mr. Wax?
20
             MR. CARDANI: Yes, we will, yes. The Al Rajhi
    Bank records, there has been a lot of back and forth on
21
22
    that. We're told out of Washington that there is a
23
    possibility that we'll get those in a format for
    publication to the jury. I just want you -- we've put
24
2.5
    it on our exhibit list. We'll provide counsel with
```

immediate notification if we get those things. But for now, we'll put that on the exhibit list in hopes that we're going to be able to get that.

The AHIF exhibits, the series of exhibits -- I talked to you about this at our list hearing, we were hopeful the last time we met that we were going to meet with the defense and come to some stipulations on the foundational aspects of the exhibits that we have that start with the prefix AHIF, and there are about 12 of them.

I thought we were making progress on that and I held off filing a motion on that. But I'm ready to -- I'm within a day or two of being able to file that motion. If we're not able to reach the stipulations necessary, then we're going to need some rulings from the court because on a couple of exhibits, we need some more information. And unless there is some agreements from the defense, then the information -- for example, where Mr. Matasar got certain of the documents that he gave us in response to the subpoena. He acted as a vehicle in delivering those records.

THE COURT: Let me just ask this: You need to take your counsel on whether you file a motion, but what I'd like you and the defense to discuss, though, in addition to that, whether I would rule that Mr. Matasar

2.5

would have to testify or not is whether that can happen in a proceeding before we seat a jury, so that we can -- if we have to do it, do it in that way.

MR. CARDANI: And I thought we were making some progress on that, Judge, that would obviate any of this, but we're just not there. I'll have a conversation with Mr. Wax about this, hopefully before the end of the day to see if we can do that and not have — they make a point that Mr. Matasar is not a true custodian of records in the business to set the proper foundation for business records under 803(6). That's well taken. And I understand that.

But if we are not relying on that, but we're relying on the fact that it's an admission of al-Haramain's, this is a document that came out of the premises. It is relevant -- highly relevant and came from a highly reliable source, the jury is entitled to know that information. And the court is entitled to know that information when ruling on the admissibility of it.

Because we gave Mr. Matasar the professional courtesy of not having to show up at the grand jury or have a custodian, a true custodian appear, when those records were given to us long ago, we are going to need some more information on that. And I'm hopeful that we

```
can do that agreeably, but we may not be.
1
2
             THE COURT: Okay.
3
             MR. CARDANI: The trial schedule, just -- the
    court has heard this over and over again, but we are all
4
5
    very sensitive to Mr. Matasar's personal situation, and
6
    I think that goes without stating. But we would be
7
    remiss if we didn't do all we could to hold the line on
    the trial date. This case --
8
9
             THE COURT: You don't need to say any more
    about that. I'm going to do my very best to have the
10
11
    trial as it's currently set.
12
             MR. CARDANI: That's all I have.
13
             THE COURT: Anything further?
14
             MR. WAX: No, thank you.
15
             THE COURT: Yes. Gentlemen and ladies, thank
16
    you very much. We're in recess.
17
             (The proceedings were concluded at 3:06 p.m.)
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, Deborah Wilhelm, Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of Oregon, do hereby certify that I was present at and reported in machine shorthand the oral proceedings had in the above-entitled matter. I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my skill and ability, dated this 14th day of May, 2010.

/s/ Deborah Wilhelm

Deborah Wilhelm, RPR Certified Shorthand Reporter Certificate No. 00-0363